Marion Feledziak,^{†,§} Catherine Michaux,[‡] Allan Urbach,[†] Geoffray Labar,§ Giulio G. Muccioli,^{§,⊥} Didier M. Lambert,§ and Jacqueline Marchand-Brynaert*,†

[†]Unité de Chimie Organique et Médicinale, Université Catholique de Louvain, Bâtiment Lavoisier, Place Louis Pasteur 1, B-1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium, [§]Unité de Chimie Pharmaceutique et de Radiopharmacie, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Université Catholique de Louvain, Avenue E. Mounier 73.40, B-1200 Bruxelles, Belgium, and[‡]Laboratoire de Chimie Biologique Structurale, Facultés Universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix, rue de Bruxelles 61, B-5000 Namur, Belgium. ¹ Present address: Bioanalysis and Pharmacology of Bioactive Lipids laboratory, Louvain Drug Research Institute, Université Catholique de Louvain, Avenue E. Mounier 72.30, B-1200 Bruxelles, Belgium.

Received June 11, 2009

A library of 30 β -lactams has been prepared from $(3R,4R)$ -3-[(R) -1'-(tbutyldimethylsilyloxy)-ethyl]-4acetoxy-2-azetidinone, and the corresponding deacetoxy derivative, by sequential N- and O-functionalizations with various ω -alkenoyl and ω -arylalkanoyl chains. All compounds were selective inhibitors of hFAAH versus hMGL, and IC_{50} values in the nanomolar range (5-14 nM) were recorded for the best representatives. From time-dependent preincubation and rapid dilution studies, and from docking analyses in a homology model of the target enzyme, a reversible mechanism of inhibition of hFAAH is proposed.

Introduction

The 2-azetidinone template $(\beta$ -lactam) has been widely described as a lead structure for the inhibition of serine hydrolases such as human leukocyte elastase (HLE^a) ,¹ prostate specific antigen (PSA) ,² thrombin,³ human cytomegalovirus,⁴ and mainly D , D -peptidases and $β$ -lactamases, the bacterial target-enzymes of penicillin-type drugs used in antibiotherapy.5 Generally, enzyme inhibition results from the interaction between the 2-azetidinone carbonyl and the active serine of the catalytic triad Ser-His-Asp. This interaction creates a covalent bond, via a tetrahedral intermediate, leading to a relatively stable acyl-enzyme complex, and therefore to the inhibition of the enzyme. Slow hydrolysis of the acylenzyme complex can regenerate the active enzyme, but in the case of so-called "suicide-substrates", ⁶ the inhibition is irreversible because the acyl-enzyme structure is no more sensitive toward hydrolysis. Surprisingly, the β -lactam motif has never been considered for fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibition, until our preliminary study which disclosed 3-alkenyl-2-azetidinones as micromolar inhibitors.⁷ Like the above-mentioned enzymes, FAAH is a serine hydrolase but a member of a distinct class from the chymotrypsin family.

Indeed, the active site differs from traditional enzymes by the replacement of Ser-His-Asp catalytic triad with Ser-Ser-Lys triad which constitutes the so-called amidase signature (AS).8,9 Recently, a second AS enzyme has been discovered and termed FAAH-2;¹⁰ regarding the original FAAH (also named FAAH-1), this enzyme shares only 20% sequence identity, but the same amide hydrolyzing activity using a Ser-Ser-Lys triad. FAAH exerts its activity on substrates possessing an amide bond, especially endogenous fatty acid amides (FAA). The principal substrate, and the most studied, is anandamide (arachidonoylethanolamide, AEA), a partial agonist of cannabinoid receptors $CB₁$ and $CB₂$.¹¹ Therefore, FAAH is commonly said to belong to the endocannabinoid system which consists of different hydrolases: FAAH-1, FAAH-2, monoacylglycerol lipase $(MGL)^{12}$ and N-acylethanolamine-hydrolyzing acid amidase $(NAAA)$,¹³ among others. $14-17$ MGL and NAAA preferentially hydrolyze 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) or 2-oleoylglycerol (2-OG) and palmitoylethanolamide, respectively. FAAH hydrolyses anandamide, other endogenous fatty acid amides, but also a particular class of N-acylamino acids, that is, N-acyl taurines (NATs) which activate transient receptor potential (TRP) ions channels,¹⁸ and oleamide,¹⁹ a fatty acid primary amide recognized as a sleep-inducing lipid. The actual knowledge of these bioactive lipids and the role played by FAAH in the control of their levels open the door to the development of novel therapeutic agents.20 Indeed, pharmacological investigations in animal models have shown that a large number of biological benefic effects such as appetite stimulation, antiinflammatory effects, sleep induction, 21 anxiety release and analgesia^{22,23} could be enhanced by controlling FAAH catabolic activity.

The search of FAAH inhibitors constitutes a domain of growing interest which has been recently reviewed.^{24,25} Potent inhibitors based on different types of electrophilic functions

^{*}Corresponding author. Phone: $+32$ 10 47 27 40. Fax: $+32$ 10 47 41 68. E-mail: jacqueline.marchand@uclouvain.be.

 a Abbreviations: hFAAH, human fatty acid amide hydrolase; hMGL, human monoacylglycerol lipase; AS, amidase signature; FAAs, fatty acid amides; AEA, anandamide; CB₁, cannabinoid receptor subtype-1; CB2, cannabinoid receptor subtype-2; NAAA, N-acylethanolamine hydrolyzing acid amidase; 2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; 2-OG, 2 oleoylglycerol; BTNP, (E)-6-(bromomethylene)tetrahydro-3-(1-naphthalenyl)-2H-pyran-2-one; DCM, dichloromethane; ACN, acetonitrile; DCC, dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; DMAP, dimethylaminopyridine; HMDS, hexamethyldisilazane; CBz, benzyloxycarbonyl; TBDMS, tert-butyldimethylsilyl; MAFP, methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphonate; rmsd, root-mean-square deviation; ACB, acyl chain binding; CA, cytoplasmic access; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.

Figure 1. Structures of previously described FAAH inhibitors (the mentioned IC_{50} is the one reported by the respective authors, against rat enzyme).

have been published. They are divided into two mechanistic classes: irreversible carbamates²⁶⁻³¹ and ureas^{32,33} inhibitors, which include the pharmacological tools 1 (URB-597)³⁴ and 2 (PF-622),³³ and the reversible α -keto oxazoles³⁵⁻³⁹ inhibitors (and other heterocycles) illustrated by 3 $(OL-135)^{23}$ (Figure 1). Reaction of 1 and 2 with FAAH leads to inactive and stable acyl-enzymes. Initial proton exchange between Lys142, Ser217, and Ser241 (catalytic triad) allows the nucleophilic attack of Ser241 on the carbonyl function of the inhibitor; the resulting tetrahedral intermediate expulses the leaving group, namely, the phenol moiety of 1 or the aniline group of 2, along with proton transfer from Ser217, thus leading to Ser241 covalently modified as a carbamate. The postulated mechanism of FAAH interaction with 3 starts similarly, but since the tetrahedral intermediate features no leaving group, reversible inhibition occurs. Within this family of covalent reversible inhibitors, SAR studies have clearly shown that the activity is linked to the electrophilic character of the ketone.³⁵

Embedding the sensitive carbonyl function into a cyclic structure appears to be a quite unusual strategy for the design of FAAH inhibitors. (E)-6-(Bromomethylene)tetrahydro-3-(1-naphthalenyl)-2H-pyran-2-one $(4,$ Figure 1) was an early covalent inhibitor of anandamide hydrolysis.⁴⁰ A unique series of (thio)hydantoin-based FAAH inhibitors, exemplified with 3-heptyl-5,5'-diphenylimidazolidine-2,4-dione (5, Figure 1), has been reported by Muccioli et al.⁴¹ Such molecules act as competitive inhibitors without being hydrolyzed by the enzyme. Lastly, a few lipophilic β -lactams were shown to be modest inhibitors of FAAH: 3-(4'-pentenyl)- $1-(4'-pentenoyl)-2-azetidinone (6, Figure 1) emerged as a$ micromolar inhibitor.⁷ Starting from this preliminary result, we have investigated the synthesis and the pharmacological properties of a new family of FAAH inhibitors, derived from acetoxy-azetidinone 7, in order to possibly improve the activity. The structures were decorated with different acyl chains on N1 and C5-O positions, featuring a terminal phenyl (Ph), biphenyl (biPh), or alkene (Alk) motif as found on the hydrophobic scaffolds of traditional FAAH inhibitors.

A series of 30 azetidinones was evaluated in vitro for the inhibition of human FAAH (hFAAH) and human MGL (hMGL). The most promising compounds were submitted to a docking study in a new model of hFAAH.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. Acetoxy-azetidinone 7 is a commercially available chiral precursor of (carba)penems antibiotics.⁴² This molecule offers several advantages: (i) the amide function can be easily substituted on the N1 position; (ii) after deprotection of the silyl ether group, the hydroxyl function of the side-chain can also be substituted (C5-O position); (iii) the acetoxy substituent (OAc) on the C4 position increases the heterocycle chemical reactivity $(N1-C2)$ cleavage) by its electron withdrawing effect; (iv) OAc is also a good leaving group. This last structural feature would make possible the occurrence of an irreversible suicide-type inhibition, if a serine hydrolase enzyme reacted on the β -lactam ring. Moreover, the chemical reactivity of the OAc substituent allows its formal elimination by a two-step sequence of reactions (substitution/reduction), giving the less hindered and more stable precursor 8 (Scheme 1).

A first family of lipophilic azetidinones was prepared from 7, taking inspiration from previously described protocols (Table 1, entries $1-10$).^{43,44} Briefly, 7 was N-acylated by reaction with hydrocynnamoyl chloride, 4-phenyl-butanoyl chloride, or 4-pentenoyl chloride, and pyridine, in refluxing dichloromethane (DCM), to furnish respectively azetidinones 9a (89%), 9b (94%), and 9c (80%). The silyl ether function was deprotected by treatment with HCl-HOAc at -5 °C. The resulting alcohols $11a-c(83-99%)$ were directly engaged in esterification reactions with hydrocynnamoyl chloride, 4-phenyl-butanoyl chloride, or 4-pentenoyl chloride, in the presence of pyridine at room temperature, giving the following bis-acylated compounds: 13a (99%), 14a (88%), 13b (70%), 14b (52%), and 15e (90%). The biphenylacetyl side chain was introduced by an alternative method: the reaction of 11a,b with biphenylacetic acid and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), in the presence of dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) as catalyst. Compounds 13d (67%) and 14d (77%) were isolated.

A second family of compounds (Table 1, entries 11 to 31) was prepared from 8.⁴⁵ This precursor could be readily obtained by substitution of 7 with thiophenolate followed by reduction with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane hydride (see Supporting Information). As above, 8 reacted with hydrocynnamoyl chloride, 4-phenyl-butanoyl chloride, 5-phenylpentanoyl chloride, 4-pentenoyl chloride, or 5-hexenoyl chloride to afford respectively the N-acylated azetidinones 10a (88%), 10b (87%), 10c (74%), 10d (95%), and 10e (46%) (see Scheme 1). After t-butyldimethylsilyl deprotection under acidic conditions, the resulting alcohols $12a-e$ (78-94%) were esterified with various acid chlorides and pyridine (Method A), or with the corresponding carboxylic acids, DCC and DMAP (Method B). Application of the Method A to hydrocynnamoyl chloride and 12a,b gave the azetidinones 16a (79%) and 17a (89%). From 4-phenylbutanoyl chloride and 12a-e were obtained respectively 16b (75%), 17b (87%), 18b (63%), 19b (84%), and 20b (77%). Reaction of 4-pentenoyl chloride with 12d furnished 19e (88%). Applying the Method B to 12a,b and 5-phenylvaleric acid, we produced the bis-acylated azetidinones 16c (59%) and 17c (93%). Similarly, from biphenylacetic acid

^a Reagents and conditions: (a) acyl chloride, pyridine, DCM, 45 °C, 24 h; (b) HCl, AcOH, ACN, -5 °C to rt, 3 h; (c) acyl chloride, pyridine, DCM, rt, 15 h or carboxylic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, rt, 15 h; (d) benzyl chloroformate, LiHMDS, -78 °C to rt, 4 h; (e) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH/AcOEt, 1 h.

Table 1. Determination of the Inhibitory Potential of Azetidinones Towards Human FAAH and Human MGL^a

entry	compound	R ¹	$\,$	\mathbb{R}^2	$\,m$	R^3	IC_{50} hFAAH ^b	$\%$ inhibition (MGL) ^c	IC_{50} hMGL ^b
$\mathbf{1}$	11a	OAc	$\sqrt{2}$	${\rm Ph}$			223.6	48	
$\mathfrak{2}$	11 _b	OAc	3	${\rm Ph}$			182.8	61	
3	11c	OAc	$\mathfrak{2}$	Alk			537.0	16	
$\overline{4}$	13a	OAc	$\overline{2}$	Ph	\overline{c}	Ph	2.02	100(0)	
5	13 _b	OAc	$\mathfrak{2}$	Ph	3	Ph	0.96	100(0)	
6	13d	OAc	$\mathfrak{2}$	Ph	1	biPh	0.826	66	
7	14a	OAc	3	Ph	2	Ph	5.12	100(0)	
8	14 _b	OAc	3	Ph	3	Ph	3.12	100(0)	
9	14d	OAc	3	Ph	1	biPh	0.708	100(0)	
10	15e	OAc	$\mathfrak{2}$	Alk	$\mathfrak{2}$	$\rm Alk$	1.9	99 (33)	133
11	12a	H	\overline{c}	Ph			408.7	8	
12	12 _b	H	3	Ph			nd	nd	
13	12c	$\rm H$	$\overline{4}$	Ph			nd	nd	
14	12d	H	$\mathfrak{2}$	Alk			7.9	89(8)	
15	12e	$\rm H$	3	Alk			nd	nd	
16	16a	H	$\sqrt{2}$	Ph	\overline{c}	Ph	0.157	100(0)	
17	16 b	H	$\sqrt{2}$	Ph	\mathfrak{Z}	Ph	0.049	100(0)	
18	16c	H	\overline{c}	Ph	4	Ph	0.091	100(0)	
19	16d	H	$\sqrt{2}$	Ph	1	biPh	0.050	31	
20	17a	H	3	Ph	$\mathfrak{2}$	Ph	0.057	54	
21	17 _b	H	3	Ph	3	Ph	0.030	100(0)	
22	17c	H	3	Ph	4	Ph	0.045	59	
23	17d	H	3	Ph	1	biPh	0.032	$\boldsymbol{0}$	
24	18b	H	$\overline{4}$	Ph	3	Ph	0.449	39	
25	18d	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	$\overline{4}$	Ph	1	biPh	0.236	25	
26	19 _b	$\rm H$	$\sqrt{2}$	Alk	3	Ph	0.005	89	4.06
27	19d	$\rm H$	$\sqrt{2}$	Alk	1	biPh	0.012	91	1.84
28	19e	$\rm H$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\rm Alk$	$\sqrt{2}$	Alk	0.098	99	23.3
29	19f	H	$\mathfrak{2}$	Alk	3	Alk	0.032	8	4.72
30	20 _b	$\boldsymbol{\mathrm{H}}$	$\ensuremath{\mathfrak{Z}}$	Alk	3	Ph	0.010	85	8.51
31	20d	H	3	Alk	1	biPh	0.014	67	14.6
32	24	H			$\mathbf{1}$	biPh	6.5	16	

^a See Supporting Information for the corresponding table of pI₅₀ values and Standard Error. ^bIC₅₀ in μ M (from three independent experiments) ^c Percentage of inhibition at 10^{-4} M. The percentage of inhibition at 10^{-6} M is stated between brackets.

and $12a-e$, we prepared the compounds $16d$ (93%), $17d$ (83%), 18d (81%), 19d (68%), and 20d (66%). Lastly, reaction of 12d with 5-hexenoic acid gave the azetidinone 19f (84%).

For comparison purposes (see below, enzymatic tests), one representative azetidinone monosubstituted at the C5-O position was prepared in four steps (Scheme 1 and Table 1, entry 32). Amide protection of 8 with a benzyloxycarbonyl group $(21, 99\%)$, silyl ether deprotection as usual $(22, 91\%)$, esterification with biphenylacetic acid (23, 83%), and N1 deprotection by catalytic hydrogenation afforded the azetidinone 24 (96%; overall yield for four steps, 72%).

All final azetidinones and intermediates were fully characterized by the usual spectroscopies (see Experimental Section). Typical features are exemplified with 14d (first series, R^1 = OAc) and 19b (second series, R^1 = H). ¹H NMR spectrum of 14d shows the vicinal β -lactamic protons with the *trans* relationship at 3.28 ppm (H3, dd, $J = 6.5$ and 1.7 Hz) and 6.46 ppm (H4, d, $J = 1.7$ Hz); four carbonyl signals are visible in 13 C NMR at 170.4 (O-CO), 169.8 (N-CO), 169.1 (OAc) and 162.2 (β -lactam carbonyl) ppm; the IR spectrum shows the carbonyl stretchings at 1803 (β -lactam), 1740 (broad, OAc and ester), and 1717 (imide) cm^{-1} . For 19b, the geminal β -lactamic protons H4/H4' appear in ¹H NMR as a typical ABX pattern at 3.53 ppm (dd, $J = 7.7$ and 3.7 Hz) and 3.66 ppm (dd, $J = 7.7$ and 6.6 Hz), while H3 gives a multiplet at 3.40 ppm; the ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectrum shows three carbonyl signals at 172.4 (O-CO), 170.3 (N-CO), and 164.4 (β -lactam CO) ppm, and the IR spectrum shows the carbonyl stretchings at 1786 (β -lactam), 1734 (ester), and 1703 (imide) cm⁻¹. In both series (R^1 = OAc or H), H5 proton of precursors 9,10 (silyl ether) and 11,12 (free alcohol) gives a multiplet (qd) around 4.3 δ in ¹H NMR spectra; after the O-acylation leading to the final compounds $13-15$ and **16-20**, a deshielding of about 1 δ is observed (H5 around 5.3) δ). The chemical and enantiomeric purity of all tested compounds has been controlled by HPLC, using C18 and AD-H columns, respectively.

Biochemical Evaluation

The azetidinones listed in Table 1 have been tested as potential inhibitors of hFAAH and hMGL. Human recombinant enzymes, developed in our laboratory,^{46,47} were used in competitive hydrolytic assays using $[^{3}H]$ -radiolabeled AEA and $[{}^{3}H]$ -radiolabeled 2-OG, respectively, as substrates. Tested compounds, enzymes, and $[^{3}H]$ -substrates were incubated at 37 \degree C during 10 min. The inhibition rates were evaluated by liquid scintillation counting (LSC) of the restitual hydrolysis products of the labeled substrates. The results reported in Table 1 are the means of three independent assays.

FAAH Inhibition. Collected results clearly show that the azetidinones equipped with only one acyl chain, at N1 position (entries $1-3$ and $11-15$) or C5-O position (entry 32), are modest or very weak inhibitors of FAAH. Among the compounds bearing two acyl chains, fixed at N1 and C5-O positions, the first series (R^1 = OAc, entries 4-10) systematically appears less active than the second one $(R^1 =$ H, entries $16-31$). Our initial hypothesis that the C4 acetate substituent would improve the azetidinone inhibitory $effect - by increasing the chemical reactivity of the hetero$ cycle (electronwithdrawing effect) and/or by initiating an enzymatic suicide-mechanism (leaving group effect) $$ turned out to be contradicted by these first results. Accordingly, the discussion focuses only on the second series of disubstituted azetidinone inhibitors 16a-d, 17a-d, 18b,d, 19b-f, and 20b,d which are potent FAAH inhibitors. The studied factors were the chain length $(n, m = 1-4)$ and the nature of the end group (Ph, biPh, Alk) for both substituted positions (N1, C5-O). All compounds $16-20$ revealed to be good inhibitors of $hFAAH$ with IC_{50} values ranging from 0.005 μ M (19b) to 0.45 μ M (18b). Comparatively to our

previous "hit" (structure 6, Figure 1; $IC_{50} = 4.5 \mu M$), the activities have been significantly improved. On the basis of the results reported here, some structure-activity relationships can be drawn. Compounds 16 , with $N-(3$ -phenylpropanoyl) chain (entries $16-19$), and 12, with N-(5-phenyl-pentanoyl) chain (entries 24 and 25), are less potent than their corresponding analogues 17 , with $N-(4$ -phenylbutanoyl) chain (entries $21-23$). Compounds 19, with $N-(4$ -pentenoyl) chain (entries 26-29), are slightly more potent than their corresponding analogues 20, with $N-(5-)$ hexenoyl) chain. Within subfamilies, compounds named b, with O -(4-phenyl-butanoyl) chain (entries 17, 21, 26, 30), and d, with O-(biphenyl-acetyl) chain (entries 19, 23, 27, 31), are the best inhibitors. We concluded that similar activities result from the presence of 4-phenyl-butanoyl ($n = 3$, $R^2 = Ph$) and 4-pentenoyl ($n = 2$, $R^2 = Alk$) substituents at the N1 position, on the one hand, and from the presence of 4-phenyl-butanoyl ($m = 3$, $R^3 = Ph$) and biphenylacetyl $(m = 1, R³ = biPh)$ substituents at the C5-O position, on the other hand.

MGL Inhibition. Azetidinones 11, 13-14 of the first series $(R^1 = OAc)$ inhibited the enzyme at 10⁻⁴ M concentration $(50-100\%$ inhibition), but not at 10^{-6} M concentration (Table 1, entries 1 to 10). An IC₅₀ value of 133 μ M was determined for the most active compound 15e (entry 10) which, however, shows a great selectivity for the inhibition of FAAH (IC₅₀ = 1.90 μ M).

Azetidinones 12, 16-20 of the second series ($R^1 = H$) were also modest inhibitors of MGL (entries $11-25$). IC₅₀ values of the most active azetidinones 19b-f and 20b,d ranged from 1.84 to 23.3 μ M (entries 26–31). Here again, the selectivity versus FAAH inhibition is high: for instance, 19b (entry 26) and 20b (entry 30) are respectively 800 and 850 times more potent against FAAH. For the other compounds, 19d-f and 20d, the selectivities range within 100 and 240.

Inhibition Mode. To determine the likely mechanism of FAAH inhibition, two types of experiments were performed, that is, time-dependent preincubation and rapid dilution studies, both using azetidinones 19b (IC₅₀ = 0.005 μ M) and 19f (IC₅₀ = 0.032 μ M). Concerning the preincubation study, it is expected with an irreversible-type inhibitor that the inhibitor potency should increase upon prolonged preincubation time. Conversely, a constant IC_{50} value upon preincubation supports a reversible mechanism of inhibition.⁴⁸ Thus, 19b and 19f were incubated with the enzyme for 0, 15, 45, or 90 min, prior to substrate addition. As illustrated in Figure 2, the preincubation had no effect on the inhibiting activity of the compounds. This suggests an inhibition mode similar to those of α -keto-oxazoles (see 3, Figure 1) or hydantoins (see 5, Figure 1).^{35,41} On the other hand, after rapid and large dilution of the inhibitor-enzyme mixture, the recovery of enzymatic activity should be almost total if the inhibitor is reversible. For the irreversible inhibitors, the enzyme remains largely inhibited because the inhibitor is bound to the enzyme. Here, the rapid and large dilution led to a recovery of enzymatic activity in the case of 19b and 19f, as for 1-oxazolo[4,5-b]pyridin-2-yl-6-phenyl-1-hexanone $(CAY10402)$, ⁴⁹ an analogue of 3 (Figure 1). As a further control we used two irreversible FAAH inhibitors, compound 1 (Figure 1) and methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphonate $(MAFP)^{50}$ and found that the enzyme activity was still profoundly inhibited after the dilution (Figure 3a).

Furthermore, the mechanism of 19b interaction with $hFAAH$ was determined by studying the velocity of anandamide

Figure 2. Determination of the mode of inhibition of 19 $f(A)$ and 19 $b(B)$. The influence of the time of preincubation (0, 15, 45, and 90 min) on the inhibition curves of $hFAAH$ was studied resulting in no significant variation of the IC_{50} values.

Figure 3. (A) Test of reversibility: influence of a rapid and large dilution on the recovery of hFAAH activity (studies after 0, 30, and 90 min following the rapid and large dilution). (B) Determination of the mechanism of 19b interactions with hFAAH. Michaelis-Menten curves and rapid dilution graphs were obtained from three independent experiments. The kinetic parameters are shown in the inset (V_{max} values are given as nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ of protein and K_M values are in μ M).

Figure 4. Representation of the active site of the modeled human FAAH.

metabolism in function of increasing concentration of anandamide. The Michaelis-Menten curves (Figure 3b) and resulting kinetic parameters suggest a competitive inhibition type for this compound. Indeed, the V_{max} values in the presence of 15 or 45 nM of 19b (12.77 \pm 0.22 and 11.91 \pm 0.50 nmol min⁻¹ mg ⁻¹, respectively) are similar to the V_{max} value obtained in the absence of inhibitor (13.38 \pm 0.25 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹), whereas the K_{M} values are largely increased in the presence of inhibitor.

On the basis of these data, to collect more information about the possible enzyme-inhibitor interactions at the atomic level, a modeling study has been performed.

Thereotical Study

Model of the Human FAAH. The crystal structure of hFAAH is currently not available. But recently, an engineered form of rat FAAH showing the same activity profile as the human one was crystallized (PDB code $2VYA$).⁵¹ We therefore decided to build a model of hFAAH through homology modeling using this X-ray crystal structure. Their amino acid sequence shared 80.6% identity. The EsyPred3D program was used.⁵² This automated homology modeling tool compares results from various multiple alignment

Figure 5. Proposed binding mode I of 19b into the human FAAH. In the panel on the right, H bonds are depicted by orange dotted lines.

Figure 6. Proposed binding mode II of 19d into the human FAAH. In the panel on the right, H bonds are depicted by orange dotted lines.

algorithms to derive a "consensus" alignment between the target sequence and the template. Quality verification of the model was performed with Procheck 3.0 with a pseudoresolution of 2.8 \AA ⁵³. The model obtained is reliable based on the Ramachandran plot, showing 91.2% of the residues in the core regions and 8.6% in the allowed one (see Supporting Information). Moreover, 99.1, 94.8, and 100.0% of the main chain bond lengths, main chain bond angles, and the planar groups, respectively, are within the standard geometries. The root mean square deviation (rmsd) for the backbone atoms between both structures is 0.091 A. The active site of $hFAAH$ is formed by a hydrophobic tunnel, called the acyl chain binding channel (ACB), leading from the membrane-bound surface to the hydrophilic catalytic triad (Ser241, Ser217, and Lys142) (Figure 4). From the membrane, the ACB channel bifurcates into a lipophilic bulge. A second tunnel, the cytoplasmic access channel (CA), is exposed to the solvent

and emerges at about an 80° angle from the ACB channel. A third channel composed of three phenylalanine residues (Phe388, Phe381, and Phe192), here called the "phenyl pocket", lies close to the ACB channel.

Docking Studies. Since the above-described pharmacological data suggest that these compounds are competitive inhibitors we docked the most active inhibitors, 19b and 19d, into the substrate binding site of the modeled hFAAH to further understand their binding mode. Therefore we used the GOLD program, which we used for a previous work on FAAH,⁵⁴ to dock these compounds into the active site of our human FAAH model. Recent theoretical and structural studies showed the planarity of the amide β -lactam bond and that the two imide carbonyls (called here COlactam and COexo) can adopt either E or Z configuration, the E configuration being the more stable in the gas phase (ΔE = 3.9 kcal/mol). 43 We therefore allowed flipping the imide

Table 2. Characteristics of the Two Proposed Binding Modes of Azetidinone Compounds Inside the Modelled Human FAAH

compound	binding mode	configuration of the imide carbonyls	H bonds	distance(A)	
			CO exo \cdots OH(Ser241)	2.24	
19 _b			$COLactam\cdots OH(Thr236)$	3.26	
			$COester \cdots NH(Val270)$	2.95	
19d			$COester \cdots NH(Cys269)$	3.32	
			CO lactam \cdots OH(Ser241)	3.07	

Figure 7. Binding mode of the putative tetrahedral intermediates of 19b, binding Ser241 either (a) via the exocyclic imide carbonyl (COexo) or (b) via the lactam carbonyl (COlactam) in the modeled human FAAH.

bond during the docking run. On the basis of GOLD scoring function and on the occurrence of the docking poses, two preferential binding modes were retained (Figures 5 and 6; Table 2). We observed a Z or E configuration of the imide carbonyls following the binding mode and the studied compound. In the first binding mode (I) (Figure 5), the phenyl or biphenyl chain lies in the "phenyl pocket" and interacts with the three phenylalanines Phe192, Phe381, and Phe388. The catalytic serine Ser241 is close to the lactam and imide carbonyls. The alkene chain lies at the beginning of ACB channel and is close to Phe192. The observed H bonds are described in Table 2. In the second binding mode (II) (Figure 6), only observed for 19d, the biphenyl and alkene chains are located in the ACB channel and "phenyl pocket", respectively. The biphenyl group interacts with Phe192.

In both binding modes, several amino acids of the active site are involved in hydrophobic contacts with the inhibitors (see Supporting Information). From our docking experiments, we can explain the optimal chain length $m = 3$

(phenyl) or $m = 1$ (biphenyl), and $n = 2$ (alkenyl), by the stabilizing $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the phenyl/biphenyl or alkene group and phenylalanine residues of the active site. Moreover, in both cases, mode I or II, adding an acetate moiety in the lactam cycle at C4 would lead to steric hindrance. The same binding modes were also observed for 16b and 16d (results not shown).

The first binding mode (Figure 5) could suggest a mechanism of action similar to that of α -keto heterocycles acting as reversible, competitive inhibitors presumably via reversible hemiketal formation with the active serine Ser241.^{35,55} In this context, we did a covalent docking of the two putative tetrahedral intermediates of 19b, binding Ser241 either via the lactam carbonyl (COlactam) or via the exocyclic imide carbonyl (COexo). In both cases, the position of the inhibitor is close to the one of the first binding mode with the phenyl group interacting with the "phenyl pocket" and the alkene chain lying in the ACB channel (Figure 7). The anion oxygen interacts by H bonding with the oxyanion hole, that is, with the backbone of Ile238, Gly239, and Gly240. For the intermediate via the exocyclic carbonyl, both Z and E configurations are observed with a highest occurrence for E.

Following the second binding mode (Figure 6), the inhibitors would rather act as the (thio)hydantoin inhibitors, described recently, without tetrahedral intermediate.⁵⁴

As an internal validation of the docking methodology, the inhibitor N-phenyl-4-(quinolin-3-ylmethyl)piperidine-1-carboxamide $(PF-750)^{33}$ covalently attached to the Ser241 and used to generate the published crystal structure of the humanized form of rat FAAH,⁵¹ was redocked into the empty catalytic pocket of the crystal structure using the same docking protocols. The conformation of the top scoring pose could reproduce the crystal structure conformation (data not shown), validating the docking methodology.

Conclusion

Till now, β-lactams were not considered as potential pharmacologically active compounds to interact with the endocannabinoid system in humans. In 2008, the virtual screening of a database of about 500 000 Shering-Plough compounds by using a CB_1 pharmacophore model as filter, and additional constraints for drug-like structures, allowed selection of 420 compounds for further in vitro evaluation. Among them, a series of five diaryl 2-azetidinones emerged, giving an inhibition rate of $\geq 50\%$ at 100 nM in a CB₁ competitive binding assay. From this nonorientated approach, one β -lactam "lead" compound was identified as novel $CB₁$ receptor antagonist with a K_i value of 53 nM.⁵⁶

To our knowledge, the design of potentially active β -lactams in the cannabinoid system was not reported before. Our approach was simply based on the FAAH inhibition by using the β -lactam core as electrophilic carbonyl function; this heterocycle was equipped with lateral chains mimicking the natural substrates or the known inhibitors, and susceptible to make hydrophobic contacts in the active site of the target enzyme.

Starting from the chiral 2-azetidinone 7 traditionally used for the synthesis of antibiotics, we generated a variety of lipophilic derivatives by placing alkenoyl, phenylalkanoyl, and biphenylacetyl chains on positions N1 and C5-O. Evaluation of this library of 30 azetidinones against hFAAH and hMGL revealed good to excellent and selective inhibitors of hFAAH versus hMGL, with IC_{50} values of 5-14 nM for the best representatives (19b, 19d, 20b, and 20d). Since the IC50 values were constant upon prolonged incubation time and as total recovery of enzymatic activity was observed after rapid and large dilution, a reversible mechanism of inhibition can be proposed. In addition, as the V_{max} values are not affected by the presence of 19b while the K_M values are increased, the interaction between $19b$ and $hFAAH$ is likely to be of a competitive type. This is a quite unexpected result, since the β -lactams are prone to form (more or less) stable acyl-enzyme intermediates with serine hydrolases. Note that docking studies of two potent inhibitors into a validated homology model of hFAAH support well the reversible mechanism, even though they do not allow discriminating between two binding modes, with either the lactam/imide carbonyls or the ester carbonyl facing the catalytic triad. Further studies are in progress to clarify the role played by each carbonyl function of the inhibitors $16-20$ and to identify the carbonyl function possibly responsible for the formation of a reversible tetrahedral intermediate by reaction with the active serine.

Experimental Section

Chemistry. All solvents, including anhydrous solvents, and reagents were purchased from Acros Organics, Alfa Aesar, Cayman chemical, Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich or VWR, and used without any further purifications. (3R,4R)-4-Acetoxy-3- [(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl]-2-azetidinone 7 was obtained from Kaneka corporation (Japan). [³H]-AEA (60 Ci/ mmol) and [3H]-2-OG (40 Ci/mmol) were purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemical (St. Louis, MO). UltimaGold scintillation liquid was bought from Perkin-Elmer. All reactions under dry conditions were performed under argon atmosphere in flame-dried glassware. Nuclear magnetic reasonance (¹H NMR and 13 C NMR) spectra were recorded at 300 MHz for proton and 75 MHz for carbon (Bruker Avance 300) or 500 MHz for proton and 125 MHz for carbon (Bruker Avance 500) using deuterate chloroform $(CDCl₃)$. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the solvent signals $(CDCl₃ 7.26$ and 77.16 ppm). NMR coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz. Melting points (mp) were determined on a Büchi B-540 apparatus calibrated with caffeine, vanillin, and phenacetin. Rotations were recorded on Perkin-Elmer 241 MC polarimeter, at 20 °C, in CHCl₃. Concentrations are given in percentage $(g/100 \text{ mL})$. Low resolution mass spectra were acquired using a Thermo Finnigan LCQ spectrometer in negative mode (ESI). High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analyses were performed at the University of Mons Hainaut (Belgium) or at the University of Oxford (UK). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using FTIR-8400S Shimadzu apparatus. Products were analyzed as thin films deposited on a Se-Zn crystal by evaporation from CH_2Cl_2 solutions. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed on Merck silica-gel $60F_{254}$ and detected under UV light, and flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (40-60 mesh) purchased from Rocc (Belgium). Purity of tested compounds was assessed by HPLC on chiral AD-H column $(2.1 \text{ mm} \times 150 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m} \text{ particle size})$ using hexane/isopropanol eluant (90:10), at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min and on Symetry C18 (4.6 mm \times 250 mm, 5 μ m particle size) using acetonitrile/ H_2O eluant (70:30), at a flow rate of 1 mL/min (purity \geq 97%).

General Procedure for N-Acylation. To a stirred solution of azetidinone 7 (1 equiv) in dry dichloromethane (8.6 mL/mmol) at 20 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ were added pyridine (2 equiv) and the suitable acyl chloride (2 equiv) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was refluxed during 24 h, then diluted in dichloromethane and the excess of acyl chloride was quenched by Na_2CO_3 (10% aqueous solution; 8.6 mL/mmol). The organic layer was washed with 3 N HCl and brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. After purification by flash chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate), white solids $(9a \text{ and } 10a-b)$ or colorless oils $(9b-c$ and $10c-e$) were obtained.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (9a). Yield: 89% (130.1 mg from 0.35 mmol of 7). Mp: 70.0–70.5 °C. [α]_D = -54.0 (c = 1.0). $R_f = 0.54$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : 442.1 $((M + Na)^+)$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.05$ (s, 3H), 0.10 $(s, 3H), 0.84$ (s, 9H), 1.34 (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.14 (s, 3H), $2.97 - 3.06$ (m, 4H), 3.15 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.5$ Hz, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 4.31 (m, 1H), 6.62 (d, 1H, $J = 1.5$ Hz), 7.21-7.34 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -5.3, -4.1, 17.9, 21.0, 21.9, 25.6, 29.8, 38.3,$ 64.3, 65.3, 74.3, 126.4, 128.6, 128.6, 140.2, 164.6, 169.1, 169.3. IR $\text{(cm}^{-1})$: $v = 2854 - 2952$, 1803, 1755, 1714, 1454–1495, 1308, 1251, 837. HRMS: C22H33NO5SiNa: calculated: 442.2026, found: 442.2040.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)-(3R,4R)-3-[1(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (9b). Yield: 94% (360 mg from 0.89 mmol of 7). $[\alpha]_D = -40.2$ (c = 1.0). $R_f = 0.48$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : 456.2 ((M + Na)⁺), 888.9 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.03 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 9H), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.99 (m, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.63-2.78 (m, 4H), 3.12 (m, 1H), 4.29 $(m, 1H)$, 6.59 (d, 1H, $J = 1.1$ Hz), 7.12–7.38 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = -5.3, -4.1, 17.8, 20.9, 21.9, 25.3, 25.6,$ 35.1, 35.9, 64.3, 65.1, 74.2, 126.1, 128.4, 128.5, 141.4, 164.5, 169.1, 169.8. IR $\text{(cm}^{-1})$: $v = 2854 - 2926$, 1805, 1757, 1717, 1462, 1308, 1211-1250, 839. HRMS: C23H35NO5SiNa: calculated: 456.2182, found: 456.2187.

 $1-(Pent-4-enoyl)-(3R,4R)-3-[1(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)$ ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (9c). Yield: 80% (515 mg from 1.74 mmol of 7). $R_f = 0.57$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : 392.1 ((M + Na)⁺), 760.9 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$: $\delta = 0.03$ (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 9H), 1.31 (d, 3H, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.40 (td, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.74 (td, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, $J = 16.9$ Hz), 2.81 (td, 1H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, $J = 16.9$ Hz), 3.12 (m, 1H), 4.29 (m, 1H), 5.01 (dd, $1H, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 10.5 Hz$, 5.08 (dd, $1H, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 17.2$ Hz), 5.82 (ddt, $1H, J = 10.5$ Hz $J = 17.2$ Hz, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 6.58 (d, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -5.5, -4.3$, 17.6, 20.8, 21.7, 25.5, 27.4, 35.6, 64.1, 65.0, 74.1, 115.8, 136.2, 164.4, 168.9, 169.1. IR (cm⁻¹): $v = 2857 - 2961$, 1808, 1759, 1721, 1642, 1306, 835. HRMS: C18H31NO5SiNa: calculated: 392.1869, found: 392.1863.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)-(3S)-3- $[1(R)-(tert-butyldimetlylsilyboxy)$ ethyl]-azetidin-2-one $(10a)$. Yield: 97% $(617 mg from 1.77 mmol of$ 8). $[\alpha]_D = -53.7$ (c = 4.1). $R_f = 0.53$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 384.3 ((M + Na)⁺), 744.9 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.06$ (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.85 $(s, 9H)$, 1.20 (d, 3H, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.95-3.06 (m, 4H), 3.23 (m, 1H), 3.56 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.7$ Hz, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 3.70 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.31 (m, 1H), 7.11-7.40 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCI₃$: $\delta = -5.1, -4.1, 17.9, 22.2, 25.7, 30.2, 38.3, 38.4, 56.5, 64.8,$ 126.3, 128.6 (2C), 140.5, 166.5, 170.2. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2856 - 2955$, 1786, 1701, 1310, 1252, 839. HRMS: C20H31NO3SiNa: calculated: 384.1971, found: 384.1974.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)-(3S)-3-[$1(R)$ -(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (10b). Yield: 87% (286 mg from 0.87 mmol of 8). Mp: 30.5-31.5 °C. [α]_D = -42.3 (c = 1.9). $R_f = 0.52$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: $5/2$). MS (ESI): m/z : 376.2 ((M + H ⁺), 398.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.06$ $(s, 3H), 0.09$ $(s, 3H), 0.85$ $(s, 9H), 1.20$ $(d, 3H, J = 6.3$ Hz $), 2.00$

 $(m, 2H), 2.61-2.84$ $(m, 4H), 3.22$ $(m, 1H), 3.54$ (dd, $1H, J = 6.8$) Hz, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 3.69 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.31 (m, 1H), 7.14-36 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -5.2$, -4.2, 17.8, 22.2, 25.61, 25.66, 35.2, 36.0, 38.2, 56.3, 64.7, 126.0, 128.4, 128.5, 141.5, 166.4, 170.7. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2856-3026$, 1784, 1697, 1454-1497, 1389, 1309, 1249, 839. HRMS: C21H34NO3Si: calculated: 376.2308, found: 376.2295; C21H33NO3SiNa: calculated: 398.2127, found: 398.2107.

1-(5-Phenylpentanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy) ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (10c). Yield: 74% (126 mg from 0.43 mmol of 8). $[\alpha]_D = -37.2$ (c = 1.0). $R_f = 0.53$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : 390.2 ((M + H)⁺), 412.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.05$ (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 9H), 1.18 (d, 3H, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 1.56-1.80 (m, 4H), $2.55-2.79$ (m, 4H), 3.20 (m, 1H), 3.52 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $J =$ 7.2 Hz), 3.67 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.30 (m, 1H), 7.07-7.45 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -5.2, -4.2,$ 17.9, 22.2, 23.7, 25.6, 30.9, 35.6, 36.3, 38.2, 56.3, 64.7, 125.8, 128.3, 128.4, 142.1, 166.4, 170.9. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2856 - 2951$, 1784, 1701, 1454-1496, 1389, 1310, 1250, 839. HRMS: C22H36NO3Si: calculated: 390.2464, found: 390.2448; C22H35NO3SiNa: calculated: 412.2284, found: 412.2263.

 $1-(\text{Pent-4-enoyl})-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-ethyll]$ azetidin-2-one (10d). Yield: 95% (965 mg from 3.27 mmol of 8). $R_f = 0.76$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : 312.3 $((M + H)^+)^1$. H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.03$ (s, 3H), 0.05 $(s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 9H), 1.17 (d, 3H, J = 6.1 Hz), 2.38 (m, 2H), 2.75 (m,$ 2H), 3.21 (m, 1H), 3.52 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.4$ Hz, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 4.29 (m, 1H), 4.97 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ $Hz, J = 10.2$ Hz), 5.05 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 17.0$ Hz), 5.80 (ddt, 1H , $J = 6.5 \text{ Hz}$, $J = 10.2 \text{ Hz}$, $J = 17.0 \text{ Hz}$). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -4.4, -5.4, 17.7, 22.0, 25.4, 27.8, 35.6, 38.1, 56.2, 64.5, 115.5, 136.4, 166.2, 170.1. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2858 - 2930, 1788, 1705,$ 1311, 840. HRMS: C16H29NO3SiNa: calculated: 334.1814, found: 334.1806.

 $1-(\text{Hexa-5-enoyl})-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)$ ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (10e). Yield: 46% (40 mg from 0.27 mmol of 8). $[\alpha]_D = -34.6$ (c = 1.0). $R_f = 0.60$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 326.2 ((M + H)⁺), 348.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.05$ (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 9H), 1.19 (d, 3H, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.75 (m, 2H), 2.10 $(m, 2H), 2.68$ $(m, 2H), 3.21$ $(m, 1H), 3.54$ (dd, $1H, J = 6.6$ Hz, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 3.68 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.4$ Hz, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 4.30 (m, 1H), 4.96-5.06 (m, 2H), 5.77 (m, 1H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -5.1, -4.1, 18.0, 22.3, 23.3, 25.7, 33.2, 36.0, 38.4,$ 56.4, 64.8, 115.4, 137.9, 166.5, 171.0. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v =$ 2856-2953, 1786, 1701, 1464, 1389, 1310, 1252, 839. HRMS: C17H31NO3SiNa: calculated: 348.1971, found: 348.1985.

General Procedure for Deprotection. To a stirred suspension of silyl ether (1 equiv) in acetonitrile (30 mL/mmol) at -5 °C was added dropwise 12 N HCl (5 equiv) and 17 N AcOH (7 equiv). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at -5 °C, and for 3 h at 0 °C. Acetonitrile was removed under a vacuum, and the oily residue was diluted in ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with 10% NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under vacuum. After purification by flash chromatography (dichloromethane/ethyl acetate), a white solid (11a-b and $12a-b$) or a colorless oil (11c, $12c-e$, and 22) was obtained.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (11a). Yield: 93% (822 mg from 2.93 mmol of **9a**). Mp: 116.0-117.0 °C. [α]_D = -76.1 (c = 2.9). R_f = 0.20 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 328.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.16 (s, 3H), 2.62 (br s, 1H), 2.94-3.16 (m, 4H), 3.17 (dd, $1H, J = 1.4 Hz, J = 6.4 Hz$), 4.18 (m, $1H$), 6.32 (d, $1H, J = 1.4$ Hz), $7.16 - 7.38$ (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.0$, 21.1, 29.9, 38.4, 64.3, 65.3, 75.9, 126.5, 128.6 (2C), 140.0, 163.2, 169.6 , 170.4. IR $\text{(cm}^{-1})$: $v = 3504$, 2931-2974, 1803, 1755, 1716, 1454-1496, 1313. HRMS: C16H19NO5Na: calculated: 328.1161, found: 328.1174.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (11b). Yield: 99% (83 mg from 0.25 mmol of 9b). Mp: 81.0–81.5 °C. [α]_D = -74.9 (c = 2.9). $R_f = 0.21$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 342.2 ((M + $(Na)^{+}$), 660.77 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.00 (m, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.62 (br s, 1H), 2.64-2.88 (m, 4H), 3.13 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 5.7$ Hz), 4.21 (m, 1H), 6.27 (d, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 7.12-7.38 (m, 5H). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.0, 21.1, 25.3, 35.1, 36.0,$ 64.3, 65.2, 75.9, 126.2, 128.5, 128.6, 141.3, 163.2, 170.2, 170.4. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 3502, 2931, 1803, 1753, 1716, 1454 - 1497, 1379,$ 1308, 1213. HRMS: C17H21NO5Na: calculated: 342.1317, found: 342.1307.

1-(Pent-4-enoyl)-(3R,4R)-3-[1(R)-(hydroxyethyl]-4-(acetoxy)azetidin-2-one (11c). Yield: 83% (490 mg from 2.3 mmol of 9c). $R_f = 0.40$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 278.1 $((M + Na)^+)$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.24$ (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz), 2.02 (s, 3H), 2.30 (dt, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 2.70 $(m, 1H)$, 3.08 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.4$ Hz, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 3.30 (br s, 1H), 4.13 (qd, 1H, $J = 5.4$ Hz, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 4.91 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.5$ Hz, $J = 10.3$ Hz), 4.98 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.5$ Hz, $J = 17.1$ Hz), 5.72 (ddt, 1H, $J = 10.3$ Hz, $J = 17.1$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 6.33 (d, 1H, $J = 1.4$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.7, 20.9, 27.4, 35.6,$ 63.8, 64.9, 75.2, 115.8, 136.0, 163.3, 169.5, 169.9. IR: υ = 3501, 2932-2978, 1805, 1755, 1718, 1641, 1311. HRMS: C12H17NO5Na: calculated: 278.1004, found: 278.0992.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)- $(3S)$ -3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl]-azetidin-2-one (12a). Yield: 78% (297 mg from 1.55 mmol of 10a). Mp: 64.5–65.5 °C. [α]_D = –36.7 (c = 1.5). R_f = 0.09 (cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 270.2 ((M + Na)⁺), 516.9 ((2) $M + Na$)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.28$ (d, 3H, $J =$ 6.4 Hz), 1.91 (br s, 1H), 2.88-3.12 (m, 4H), 3.25 (m, 1H), 3.60 (m, 2H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.38 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.7, 30.2, 38.4, 39.2, 55.9, 64.9, 126.4, 128.6, 128.7, 140.4, 166.1, 170.4. IR (cm⁻¹): $v = 3464, 2970, 1782,$$ 1697, 1454-1496, 1387, 1313, 1236. HRMS: C14H17NO3Na: calculated: 270.1106, found: 270.1109.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)- $(3S)$ -3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl]-azetidin-2one (12b). Yield: 86% (165.5 mg from 0.73 mmol of 10b). Mp: 89.5-90.0 °C. [α]_D = -38.6 (c = 2.5). R_f = 0.10 (cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 262.1 ((M + H)⁺), 284.15 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.30$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.68 (br s, 1H), 2.00 (m, 2H) 2.68 (t, 2H, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 2.73 (t, 2H, $J = 4.5$ Hz), 3.27 (m, 1H), 3.60 (m, 2H), 4.27 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.30 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.7, 25.7, 35.2, 36.1, 39.1, 55.8, 64.9, 126.1, 128.5, 128.6, 141.5, 166.1, 171.0. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 3449, 2970, 1784, 1697, 1454,$ 1389, 1312, 1250. HRMS: C15H19NO3Na: calculated: 284.1263, found: 284.1261.

 $1-(5-Phenylpentanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl-azetidin-2$ **one (12c).** Yield: 84% (68.6 mg from 0.30 mmol of 10c). $[\alpha]_D =$ -13.1 (c = 0.1). $R_f = 0.10$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 276.2 ((M + H)⁺), 298.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (δ ppm, 300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.27$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.55-1.80 (m, 4H), 2.49-2.77 (m, 4H), 3.25 (m, 1H), 3.58 (m, 2H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 7.04-7.33 (m, 5H). 13C NMR (δ ppm, 75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.6, 23.7, 30.8, 35.6, 36.4, 39.1, 55.7, 64.7,$ 125.8, 128.3, 128.4, 142.1, 166.3, 171.2. IR (ATR-SeZn, cm-¹): 3445, 2930, 1784, 1697, 1452, 1389, 1312, 1240. HRMS: C16H21NO3Na: calculated: 298.1419, found: 298.1405.

1-(Pent-4-enoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl]-azetidin-2-one (12d). Yield: 85% (457 mg from 2.32 mmol of 10d). $R_f = 0.38$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/4). MS (ESI): m/z : 198.1 ($(M + H)^+$), 220.1 $((M + Na)^+)$. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.28$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.38 (td, 2H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 2.78 (t, 2H, $J =$ 7.3 Hz), 3.28 (m, 1H), 3.56 (br s, 1H), 3.61 (m, 2H), 4.24 (m, 1H), 4.99 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.5$ Hz, $J = 10.3$ Hz), 5.72 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.5$ Hz, $J = 17.1$ Hz), 5.81 (ddt, 1H, $J = 10.3$ Hz, $J = 17.1$ Hz, $J = 6.8$ Hz).
¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.4$, 27.8, 35.6, 39.1, 55.7, 64.6, 115.7, 136.3, 166.2, 170.5. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 3443, 2928 - 2972, 1786,$

1701, 1641, 1315. HRMS: C10H15NO3Na: calculated: 198.1130, found: 198.1122.

1-(Hexa-5-enoyl)- $(3S)$ -3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl]-azetidin-2-one (12e). Yield: 94% (20.5 mg from 0.10 mmol of 10e). $[\alpha]_D =$ -30.2 (c = 3.0). $R_f = 0.13$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 212.1 ((M + H)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.30$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.75 (m, 2H), 2.00 (br s, 1H), 2.11 (m, 2H), 2.70 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 3.27 (m, 1H), 3.61 $(d, 2H, J = 5.1 \text{ Hz})$, 4.26 (m, 1H), 4.96 – 5.06 (m, 2H), 5.78 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.7, 23.3, 33.1, 36.0,$ 39.1, 55.8, 64.9, 115.5, 137.8, 166.2, 171.2. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v =$ 3470, 2930, 1786, 1697, 1441-1456, 1389, 1312, 1259. HRMS: C11H17NO3Na: calculated: 212.12866, found: 212.12837.

1-(Benzoyloxycarbonyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-hydroxyethyl]-azetidin-**2-one (22).** Yield: 91% (98.3 mg from 0.43 mmol of **21**). $[\alpha]_D$ = -37.0 (c = 4.1). $R_f = 0.09$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 272.1 ($(M + Na)^+$). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.24 (d, 3H, J = 6.4 Hz), 2.65 (br s, 1H), 3.25 (m, 1H), 3.51-3.75 (m, 2H), 4.20 (m, 1H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 7.21-7.54 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 21.5, 40.6, 56.8, 64.5, 68.1, 128.4, 128.7 (2C), 135.0, 149.1, 165.9. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 3497$, 2972, 1803, 1726, 1456, 1389, 1335. HRMS: C13H15NO4Na: calculated: 272.0899, found: 272.0888.

General Procedure for Esterification with Acyl Chloride $(13a-b, 14a-b, 15e, 16a-b, 17a-b, 18b, 19b, and 19e, 20b)$. To a stirred solution of alcohol precursor (1 equiv) in dry dichloromethane (20 mL/mmol), at 20 $^{\circ}$ C, were added pyridine (2 equiv) and the suitable acyl chloride (2 equiv) under argon atmosphere. After being stirred overnight, the mixture was diluted in dichloromethane and the excess of acyl chloride was quenched by 10% aqueous Na₂CO₃. The organic layer was washed with 3 N HCl and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under a vacuum. After purification by flash chromatography (dichloromethane/ethyl acetate), a colorless oil was obtained in all cases.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-(3-phenylpropanoyloxy)-ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (13a). Yield: 99% (77 mg from 0.18 mmol of 11a). $[\alpha]_D = -16.6$ (c = 5.3). $R_f = 0.44$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 460.3 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37$ (d, 3H $J = 6.9$ Hz), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.64 (m, 2H), 2.90-2.98 (m, 2H), 2.99-3.06 $(m, 4H), 3.30$ (dd, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz, $J = 5.9$ Hz), 5.31 (m, 1H), 6.48 (d, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 7.17-7.39 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75) MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.2, 20.9, 29.8, 30.8, 35.8, 38.3, 62.8, 65.8,$ 74.8, 126.4, 126.5, 128.3, 128.6, 128.6 (2C), 139.9, 140.2, 162.3, $169.0, 169.3, 171.9$. IR $(cm⁻¹): v = 2930, 1805, 1736, 1720, 1454,$ 1381, 1313, 1213. HRMS: C25H27NO6Na: calculated: 460.1736, found: 460.1722.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-(4-phenylbutanoyloxy)-ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (13b). Yield: 70% (153 mg from 0.49 mmol of 11a). $[\alpha]_D = -17.7$ (c = 2.6). $R_f =$ 0.48 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: $5/3$). MS (ESI): m/z : 474.2 $((M + Na)^+)$. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.41$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 1.94 (m, 2H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.36 (t, 2H, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 2.65 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 2.93–3.08 (m, 4H), 3.32 (dd, 1H, $J =$ 1.6 Hz, $J = 5.7$ Hz), 5.32 (m, 1H), 6.53 (d, 1H $J = 1.6$ Hz), $7.12-7.39$ (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.1$, 20.7, 26.4, 29.7, 33.5, 35.0, 38.2, 62.6, 65.5, 74.6, 126.0, 126.4, 128.4 (2C), 128.5, 128.5, 139.8, 141.2, 162.3, 168.9, 169.2, 172.2. IR $\text{(cm}^{-1})$: $v = 2932, 1803, 1742, 1720, 1454, 1381,$ 1313, 1213, 1188. HRMS: C26H29NO6Na: calculated: 474.1893, found: 474.1893.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)-(3R,4R)-3-[1(R)-(3-phenylpropanoyloxy)-ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (14a). Yield: 88% (90 mg from 0.23 mmol of 11b). $[\alpha]_D = -17.1$ (c = 6.2). $R_f = 0.42$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 473.9 ((M + Na)⁺), 925.3 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.36 (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.00 (m, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.57-2.77 $(m, 6H), 2.93$ (t, $2H, J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.28 (dd, $1H, J = 1.7$ Hz, $J =$ 5.9 Hz), 5.31 (m, 1H), 6.46 (d, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 7.14-7.34 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.2, 20.8, 25.3, 30.8,$ 35.0, 35.7, 36.0, 62.7, 65.8, 74.8, 126.1, 126.4, 128.3 (2C), 128.5, 128.6, 140.2, 141.1, 162.3, 169.0, 169.9, 171.8. IR (cm⁻ \cdot : $v =$ 2935-3028, 1803, 1740, 1717, 1454-1497, 1379, 1310, 1213. HRMS: C26H29NO6Na: calculated: 474.1893, found: 474.1875.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-(4-phenylbutanoyloxy)ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one $(14b)$. Yield: 52% (63 mg from) 0.26 mmol of 11b). $[\alpha]_D = -11.6$ (c = 2.2). $R_f = 0.48$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 488.4 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.40$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 1.81-2.03 (m, 4H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.31 (m, 2H), 2.57-2.73 (m, 6H), 3.29 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.8$ Hz, $J = 5.6$ Hz), 5.31 (m, 1H), 6.49 (d, 1H, $J = 1.8$ Hz), 7.22-7.33 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.3, 20.9, 25.4, 26.5, 33.6, 35.0, 35.1, 36.0, 62.7,$ 65.7, 74.7, 126.1, 126.2, 128.5 (4C), 141.2, 141.3, 162.4, 169.1, 169.9, 172.3. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2852-3026, 1803, 1736, 1720,$ 1454-1496, 1381, 1307, 1213, 1058. HRMS: C27H31NO6Na: calculated: 488.2049, found: 488.2044.

1-(Pent-4-enoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-(pent-4-enoyloxy)-ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (15e). Yield: 90% (950 mg from 3.14 mmol of 11c). $[\alpha]_D = -21.9$ (c = 5.4). $R_f = 0.56$ (cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : 360.0 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 1.39 \text{ (d, 3H, } J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}), 2.11 \text{ (s, 3H)},$ 2.34 (m, 2H), 2.40 (m, 4H), 2.80 (m, 2H), 3.28 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 5.8$ Hz), 5.00 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 10.3$ Hz), 5.02 $(dd, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz, J = 10.3 Hz$), 5.05 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6 Hz, J =$ 17.0 Hz), 5.08 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz, $J = 17.0$ Hz), 5.29 (qd, 1H, $J = 5.8$ Hz, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 5.78 (ddt, $1H, J = 6.4$ Hz, $J = 10.3$ Hz, $J = 17.0$ Hz), 5.82 (ddt, 1H, $J = 6.4$ Hz, $J = 10.3$ Hz, $J = 17.0$ Hz), 6.48 (d, 1H, $J = 1.6$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 18.1, 20.6, 27.4, 28.6, 33.3, 35.7, 62.5, 65.6, 74.5, 115.6, 115.9, 135.9, 136.2, 162.2, 168.8, 169.2, 171.7. IR $(cm⁻¹)$: $v = 2982$, 1806, 1742, 1722, 1642, 1313. HRMS: C17H23NO6Na: calculated: 360.1423, found: 360.1412.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)-(3S)-3- $[1(R)$ -(3-phenylpropanoyloxy)ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (16a). Yield: 79% (64 mg from 0.21 mmol of 12a). $[\alpha]_D = -17.1$ (c = 2.7). $R_f = 0.41$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 402.1 ($(M + Na)^+$). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.29$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.61 (t, 2H, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 2.93 (t, 2H, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 2.96–3.08 (m, 4H), 3.31 (m, 1H), 3.41 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.56 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 5.21 (m, 1H), 7.09–7.60 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 18.3, 30.1, 30.9, 35.8, 38.3, 40.1, 53.6, 67.6, 126.4, 126.5, 128.3, 128.56, 128.62 (2C), 140.1, 140.2, 164.3, 170.2, 171.9. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2931 - 3028$, 1786, 1735, 1701, 1454-1497, 1383, 1315, 1238, 1132-1161. HRMS: C23H25NO4Na: calculated: 402.1681, found: 402.1675.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)-(3S)-3- $[1(R)$ -(4-phenylbutanoyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (16b). Yield: 75% (79 mg from 0.27 mmol of 12a). $[\alpha]_D = -9.6$ (c = 3.4). $R_f = 0.44$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: $5/3$). MS (ESI): m/z : 416.2 ((M + Na)⁺), 808.7 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37$ (d, 3H, $\hat{J} = 6.4$ Hz), 1.95 (m, 2H), 2.32 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 2.66 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), $2.90 - 3.11$ (m, 4H), 3.41 (m, 1H), 3.32 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.68 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.8$, Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 5.28 (m, 1H), 7.12–7.42 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 26.6$, 30.1, 33.7, 35.1, 38.3, 40.0, 53.6, 67.3, 126.2, 126.4, 128.5 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 140.2, 141.2, 164.4, 170.2, 172.4. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v =$ 2858-3086, 1784, 1732, 1697, 1454-1497, 1381, 1313, 1238, 1132-1190. HRMS: C24H27NO4Na: calculated: 416.1838, found: 416.1827.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(3-phenylpropanoyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one $(17a)$. Yield: 89% $(71 \text{ mg from } 0.20 \text{ mmol of})$ 12b). $[\alpha]_D = -9.9$ (c = 4.9). $R_f = 0.40$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 394.0 ((M + H)⁺), 416.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.31$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.00 (m, 2H), 2.56–2.83 (m, 6H), 2.93 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 3.33 (m, 1H), 3.41 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$, Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.56 (dd, $1H, J = 6.7, Hz, J = 7.7 Hz$, 5.24 (m, 1H), 7.01-7.59 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 18.3, 25.6, 30.9, 35.1, 35.8, 36.0, 40.0, 53.5, 67.6, 126.1, 126.5, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6, 140.1, 141.3, 164.3, 170.8, 171.8. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2935-3026$, 1786, 1736, 1701, 1454-1497, 1383, 1313, 1250, 1132-1190. HRMS: C24H27NO4Na: calculated: 416.1838, found: 416.1821.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(4-phenylbutanoyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (17b). Yield: 87% (68 mg from 0.19 mmol of 12b). $[\alpha]_D = -3.3$ (c = 4.8). $R_f = 0.41$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 408.0 ((M + H)⁺), 430.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), $1.87 - 2.03$ (m, 4H), 2.29 (t, $2H, J = 7.5$ Hz), $2.56 - 2.83$ (m, 6H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 3.52 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.64 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.7$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 5.28 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.32 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 25.7, 26.6, 33.7,$ 35.1, 35.2, 36.1, 40.0, 53.6, 67.3, 126.1, 126.2, 128.5, 128.55, 128.57 (2C), 141.2, 141.4, 164.4, 170.9, 172.4. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v =$ 2934, 1786, 1734, 1701, 1454-1497, 1383, 1312, 1246, 1130-1159. HRMS: C25H29NO4Na: calculated: 430.1994, found: 430.1985.

1-(5-Phenylpentanoyl)-(3S)-3-[$1(R)$ -(4-phenylbutanoyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (18b). Yield: 63% (34 mg from 0.13 mmol of 12c). $[\alpha]_D = -2.2$ (c = 1.8). $R_f = 0.43$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 444.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 1.57-1.79 (m, 4H), 1.91 $(m, 2H)$, 2.29 $(t, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz)$, 2.54-2.79 $(m, 6H)$, 3.39 $(m,$ 1H), 3.53 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 3.65 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 5.28 (m, 1H), 7.08–7.38 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 23.7, 26.6, 30.9, 33.7, 35.1, 35.6, 36.5,$ 39.9, 53.6, 67.3, 125.9, 126.2, 128.4, 128.5, 128.6 (2C), 141.2, 142.1, 164.5, 171.1, 172.4. IR (cm⁻¹): $v = 2858 - 3026$, 1786, 1734, 1699, 1452-1497, 1381, 1313, 1242, 1132-1192. HRMS: C26H31NO4- Na: calculated: 444.2151, found: 444.2152.

1-(Pent-4-enoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(4-phenylbutanoyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (19b). Yield: 84% (89 mg from 0.31 mmol of 12d). $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -1.3$ (c = 3.5). $R_f = 0.46$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). $\overline{\text{MS}}$ (ESI): m/z : 344.0 ((M + H)⁺), 366.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 1.34 \text{ (d, 3H, } J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}), 1.92 \text{ (m, 2H)},$ 2.30 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 2.39 (m, 2H), 2.63 (t, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 2.77 (t, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 3.40 (m, 1H), 3.53 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 4.96–5.09 (m, 2H), 5.28 (m, 1H), 5.81 (m, 1H), 7.07-7.36 (m, 5H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 26.5, 27.9, 33.7, 35.0, 35.8, 39.9, 53.6,$ 67.2, 115.9, 126.1, 128.5 (2C), 136.4, 141.2, 164. 4, 170.3, 172.4. IR cm^- ⁻¹): $v = 2864 - 3026$, 1786, 1734, 1703, 1454, 1381, 1313, 1238, 1132-1191. HRMS: C20H25NO4Na: calculated: 366.1681, found: 366.1685.

 $1-(Pent-4-enoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(pent-4-enoyloxy)-ethyl]-azeti$ din-2-one (19e). Yield: 88% (500 mg from 2.03 mmol of 12d). $[\alpha]_D = -0.25$ (c = 4.9). $R_f = 0.63$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 280.0 ((M + H)⁺), 302.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.34$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.37 $(m, 6H), 2.78$ $(m, 2H), 3.39$ $(m, 1H), 3.52$ (dd, $1H, J = 3.7$ Hz, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 3.65 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 4.99 (m, 2H), 5.03 (m, 1H), 5.06 (m, 1H), 5.26 (m, 1H), 5.77 (ddt, 1H, $J = 5.9$ Hz, $J = 10.2$ Hz, $J = 16.2$ Hz), 5.81 (ddt, 1H, $J = 6.5$ Hz, $J = 10.2$ Hz, $J = 16.8$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.2, 27.8, 28.7, 33.4, 35.7, 39.8, 53.4, 67.2, 115.6, 115.7,$ 136.1, 136.2, 164.2, 170.2, 171.7. IR $\text{(cm}^{-1})$: $v = 2927-2979$, 1785, 1738, 1702, 1320. HRMS: C15H21NO4Na: calculated: 302.1368, found: 302.1358.

 $1-(\text{Hexa-5-enoyl})-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(4-phenylbutanoyloxy)-ethyl]$ azetidin-2-one (20b). Yield: 77% (20 mg from 0.07 mmol of 12e). $[\alpha]_{D}$ = -2.6 (c = 1.0). R_f = 0.43 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 358.0 ((M + H)⁺), 380.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.74 $(m, 2H), 1.92$ $(m, 2H), 2.10$ $(m, 2H), 2.29$ $(t, 2H, J = 7.5$ Hz), $2.56 - 2.74$ (m, 4H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.53 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, $J =$ 7.7 Hz), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 4.93–5.02 (m, 2H), 5.28 (m, 1H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 7.10-7.36 (m, 5H). 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 18.4, 23.2, 26.6, 33.1, 33.7, 35.1, 35.9,$ 40.0, 53.6, 67.3, 115.6, 126.2, 128.6 (2C), 137.7, 141.2, 164.5, 171.1, 172.4. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2934 - 2976$, 1786, 1734, 1701, 1454, 1381, 1313, 1250, 1132-1190. HRMS: C21H27NO4Na: calculated: 380.1838, found: 380.1827.

General Procedure for Esterification with Carboxylic Acid (16c-d, 17c-d, 18d, 19d and 19f, 20d and 23). To a stirred solution of alcohol precursor, DCC (1.1 equiv) and DMAP (cat.) in dry dichloromethane (13 mL/mmol), at 20 \degree C, was added a solution of the suitable carboxylic acid (1.1 equiv) in dry dichloromethane (7 mL/mmol) under argon atmosphere. After stirring overnight, the mixture was cooled in an ice-bath for precipitation of urea, filtered, and concentrated under a vacuum. After purification by flash chromatography (dichloromethane/ethyl acetate), white solids (13d, 14d, 16d, 17d, 18d, 19d, 20d, and 23) or colorless oils (16c, 17c, and 19f) were obtained.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-(biphenylacetyloxy)ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (13d). Yield: 67% (35 mg from 0.16 mmol of 11a). Mp: $98.0-103.0$ °C. [α]_D = -15.1 (c = 2.3). $R_f = 0.28$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : $522.2 ((M + Na)^+), 1020.9 ((2 M + Na)^+).$ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.42$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.76–2.94 $(m, 4H), 3.29$ (dd, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz, $J = 5.6$ Hz), 3.64 (d, 1H, $J =$ 17.1 Hz, AB system), 3.70 (d, $1H, J = 17.1$ Hz, AB system), 5.33 $(m, 1H)$, 6.46 (d, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 7.13-7.66 $(m, 14H)$. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.3, 20.9, 29.8, 38.3, 41.0, 62.8,$ 66.2, 74.8, 126.5, 127.2, 127.4 (2C), 128.6, 128.6, 128.9, 129.8, 132.6, 140.0, 140.2, 140.7, 162.2, 169.1, 169.2, 170.5. IR (cm⁻): $v = 2931 - 3029, 1803, 1740, 1718, 1454 - 1489, 1381, 1313,$ 1213. HRMS: C30H29NO6Na: calculated: 522.1893, found: 522.1899.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)- $(3R,4R)$ -3-[1(R)-(biphenylacetyloxy)ethyl]-4-(acetoxy)-azetidin-2-one (14d). Yield: 77% (62 mg from 0.16 mmol of 11b). Mp: 87.5-89.0 °C. $[\alpha]_{D} = -8.2$ (c = 4.7). $R_f = 0.32$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/2). MS (ESI): m/z : 536.1 ((M + Na)⁺), 1048.6 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.43$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 1.85-2.00 (m, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.48-2.73 (m, 4H), 3.28 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz, $J = 5.6$ Hz), 3.64 (d, 1H, $J = 15.5$ Hz, AB system), 3.70 (d, 1H, $J = 15.5$ Hz, AB system), 5.35 (m, 1H), 6.46 (d, 1H, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 7.15–7.70 (m, 14H). ¹³C NMR (75) MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.3, 20.9, 25.2, 35.0, 36.0, 41.0, 62.7, 66.2,$ 74.7, 126.1, 127.1, 127.4 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 128.9, 129.7, 132.6, 140.2, 140.7, 141.2, 162.2, 169.1, 169.8, 170.4. IR $\text{(cm}^{-1})$: $v =$ 2854-3082, 1803, 1740, 1717, 1452-1489, 1381, 1312, 1213. HRMS: C31H31NO6Na: calculated: 536.2049, found: 536.2062.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(5-phenylpentanoyloxy)ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (16c). Yield: 59% (48 mg from 0.20 mmol of 12a). $[\alpha]_D = -9.9$ (c = 1.8). $R_f = 0.44$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 430.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300) MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 1.58-1.76 (m, 4H), 2.32 (m, 2H), 2.63 (m, 2H), 2.96-3.09 (m, 4H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 3.52 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J =$ 6.5 Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 5.25 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.40 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 24.6, 30.2, 30.9, 34.3, 35.6,$ 38.3, 40.1, 53.6, 67.3, 125.9, 126.4, 128.5 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 140.2, 142.0, 164.4, 170.3, 172.5. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2932 - 3026$, 1785, 1734, 1701, 1454-1497, 1387, 1315, 1238-1255, 1132-1175. HRMS: C25H29NO4Na: calculated: 430.1994, found: 430.1990.

1-(3-Phenylpropanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(biphenylacetyloxy)-ethyl] azetidin-2-one (16d). Yield: 93% (84 mg from 0.20 mmol of 12a). Mp: 61.5-62.0 °C. $[\alpha]_D = -18.2$ (c = 3.7). $R_f = 0.38$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 464.2 ((M + Na)⁺), 904.8 ((2 M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 1.29 (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.77-2.96 (m, 4H), 3.31 (m, 1H), 3.42 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.54 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, $J =$ 7.7 Hz), 3.58 (s, 2H), 5.22 (m, 1H), 7.09-7.60 (m, 14H). 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 18.5, 30.2, 38.4, 39.9, 41.3, 53.7, 67.9,$ 126.5, 127.3, 127.5 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 129.0, 129.7, 132.8, 140.3, 140.4, 140. 7, 164.3, 170.3, 170.5. IR $(cm⁻¹)$: $v = 2906-3058$, 1786, 1734, 1701, 1454-1489, 1387, 1315, 1251, 1132-1157. HRMS: C28H27NO4Na: calculated: 464.1838, found: 464. 1845.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(5-phenylpentanoyloxy)ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (17c). Yield: 93% (76 mg from 0.19 mmol of 12b). $[\alpha]_{20/D} = -2.3$ (c = 4.0). $R_f = 0.41$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 421.9 ((M + H)⁺), 444.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.56-1.73 (m, 4H), 2.01 (m, 2H), 2.31 (m, 2H), 2.62 (m, 2H), $2.66 - 2.84$ (m, 4H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 3.51 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.63 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 5.27 (m, 1H), 7.08-7.45 (m, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 24.6, 25.7, 30.8, 34.2, 35.1, 35.5, 36.0, 39.9, 53.5,$ 67.2, 125.9, 126.1, 128.4 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 141.3, 142.0, 164.4, 170.8, 172.5. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2856 - 3026$, 1786, 1734, 1701, 1454, 1383, 1313, 1250, 1130. HRMS: C26H31NO4Na: calculated: 444.2151, found: 444.2141.

1-(4-Phenylbutanoyl)-(3S)-3-[$1(R)$ -(biphenylacetyloxy)-ethyl]azetidin-2-one (17d). Yield: 83% (72 mg from 0.19 mmol of 12b). Mp: 96.0–96.5 °C. [α]_D = -14.8 (c = 4.7). R_f = 0.40 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 456.0 ((M + H)⁺), 478.1 $((M + Na)^+)$. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37$ (d, $3H, J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.95 (m, 2H), 2.57–2.76 (m, 4H), 3.37 (m, 1H) 3.48 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.59 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.65 (s, 2H), 5.31 (m, 1H), 6.98–7.76 (m, 14H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 25.5, 35.1, 36.0, 39.7, 41.1,$ 53.5, 67.8, 126.1, 127.1, 127.38, 127.43, 128.4, 128.5, 128.9, 129.6, 132.6, 140.2, 140.6, 141.3, 164.2, 170.4, 170.7. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v =$ 2936-3028, 1786, 1736, 1697, 1452-1489, 1389, 1313, 1248, 1132-1155. HRMS: C29H29NO4Na: calculated: 478.1994, found: 478.1994.

1-(5-Phenylpentanoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(biphenylacetyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (18d). Yield: 66% (39 mg from 0.12 mmol of 12c). Mp: 70.5-71.3 °C. [α]_D = -1.1 (c = 7.0). R_f = 0.44 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: $5/3$). MS (ESI): m/z : 492.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.36$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.52-1.73 (m, 4H), 2.50-2.75 (m, 4H), 3.38 (m, 1H), 3.48 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), $3.56 - 3.65$ (m, 3H), 5.31 (m, (dd, 11, σ - 3.0 Hz, σ - 1.1 Hz), 3.50 - 3.65 (m, 311), 3.51 (m, 1H), 7.07-7.64 (m, 14H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 18.4, 23.7, 30.8, 35.6, 36.4, 39.7, 41.2, 53.5, 67.8, 125.8, 127.1, 127.4, 127.5, 128.4, 128.5, 128.9, 129.6, 132.7, 140.2, 140.6, 142.1, 164.3, 170.4, 170.9. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2854 - 3028$, 1786, 1736, 1699, 1452-1489, 1389, 1315, 1246, 1132-1159. HRMS: C30H31NO4Na: calculated: 492.2151, found: 492.2133.

1-(Pent-4-enoyl)- $(3S)$ -3-[1(R)-(biphenylacetyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (19d). Yield: 68% (70 mg from 0.26 mmol of 12d). Mp: 92.5-93.0 °C. $[\alpha]_D = -9.8$ (c = 2.5). $R_f = 0.44$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 414.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.36$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 2.36 (m, 2H), 2.70 (m, 2H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.48 (dd, 1H, J = $3.7 \text{ Hz}, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}$), $3.61 \text{ ((dd, 1H, } J = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz})$, 3.64 (s, 2H), 5.00 (m, 2H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 5.79 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.70 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 27.9, 35.8, 39.8,$ 41.2, 53.6, 67.8, 115.8, 127.1, 127.4, 128.9 (2C), 129.6, 132.7, 136.4, 140.2, 140.6, 164.3, 170.3, 170.4. IR $(cm⁻¹)$: $v = 2916$, 1788, 1734, 1701, 1488, 1387, 1315, 1238-1259. HRMS: C24H25NO4Na: calculated: 414.1681, found: 414.1692.

 $1-(Pent-4-enoyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(hexa-5-enoyloxy)-ethyl]-azeti$ din-2-one (19f). Yield: 84% (65 mg from 0.26 mmol of 12d). $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} = -0.5$ (c = 4.0). $R_f = 0.41$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 316.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.32$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.66 (m, 2H), 2.04 (m, 2H), 2.26 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 2.38 (m, 2H), 2.76 (t, 2H, $J = 7.5$ Hz), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.51 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.64 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.8$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), $4.85 - 5.12$ (m, 4H), 5.22 (m, 1H), 5.76 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 24.1$, 28.0, 33.0, 33.6, 35.9, 39.9, 53.6, 67.2, 115.7, 115.9, 136.4, 137.5, 164.5, 170.4, 172.5. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v = 2935-2978$, 1788, 1736,

1701, 1381, 1315, 1238, 1134-1168. HRMS: C16H23NO4Na: calculated: 316.1525, found: 316.1515.

 $1-(\text{Hexa-5-enoyl})-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(biphenylacetyloxy)-ethyl]-aze$ tidin-2-one (20d). Yield: 66% (39 mg from 0.06 mmol of 12e). Mp: 44.2–45.1 °C. $[\alpha]_D = -11.7$ (c = 1.1). $R_f = 0.43$ (cyclohexane) ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 428.1 ($(M + Na)^+$). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.36$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.71 (m, 2H), 2.06 (m, 2H), 2.61 (m, 2H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.49 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.7$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.61 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.64 $(s, 2H), 4.90 - 5.06$ (m, 2H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 5.74 (m, 1H), 7.23 - 7.65 (m, 9H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 18.4, 23.2, 33.0, 35.9, 39.8, 41.2, 53.5, 67.8, 115.5, 127.1, 127.4 (2C), 128.9, 129.6, 132.7, 137.7, 140.3, 140.6, 164.3, 170.5, 171.0. IR (cm^{-1}) : $v =$ 2934-2976, 1786, 1736, 1701, 1450-1489, 1389, 1315, 1252, 1132-1194. HRMS: C25H27NO4Na: calculated: 428.1838, found: 428.1825.

1-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(biphenylacetyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (23). Yield: 83% (75 mg from 0.20 mmol of **22**). Mp: 90.8-91.6 °C. [α]_D = -19.8 (c = 0.6). R_f = 0.37 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 466.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 3.39 (m, 1H), 3.51 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 3.60-3.70 (m, 3H), 5.19 (s, 2H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 7.26-7.67 (m, 14H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.4, 41.2, 41.4, 54.5,$ 68.0, 68.2, 127.2 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.7, 132.7, 135.0, 140.2, 140.8, 148.9, 163.6, 170.6. IR (cm⁻): 2920-3059, 1813, 1772, 1730, 1456-1489, 1389, 1329, 1128. HRMS: C27H25NO5Na: calculated: 466.1630, found: 466.1609.

1-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-(3S)-3-[1(R)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy) ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (21). To a stirred solution of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (436 μ L, 0.44 mmol) in tetrahydrofurane (2 mL) at -78 °C was added 8 (100 mg, 0.44 mmol) in tetrahydrofurane (2 mL) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C; then benzyl chloroformate (75 μ L, 0.52 mmol) was added. After being stirred for 1 h, at low temperature, the solution was allowed to warm up and was stirred for 1 h at 20 $^{\circ}$ C. After dilution in dichloromethane, the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated under a vacuum. Purification by flash chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate) gave 21 as a white solid. Yield: 99% (157 mg from 0.44 mmol of 8). Mp: 44.6–46.0 °C. $R_f = 0.46$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/3). MS (ESI): m/z : 386.1 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.03$ (s, 3H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.81 (s, 9H), 1.17 (d, $3H, J = 6.3$ Hz), 3.22 (m, 1H), 3.58 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.5$ Hz, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 3.73 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.4$ Hz, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 4.29 (m, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), $7.27 - 7.48$ (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -5.1$, -4.1, 17.9, 22.3, 25.7, 39.8, 57.3, 64.8, 68.0, 128.4, 128.5, 128.7, 135.4, 149.3, 165.8. IR (cm⁻¹): $v = 2854 - 2924$, 1801, 1726, 1464, 1387, 1323-1339, 1259. HRMS: C19H29NO4SiNa: calculated: 386.1764, found: 386.1776.

 $(3S)$ -3-[1(R)-(Biphenylacetyloxy)-ethyl]-azetidin-2-one (24). To a stirred solution of 23 (56 mg, 0.13 mmol) in ethyl acetate (2.5 mL) and ethanol (3 mL) was added 10% Pd/C (5.6 mg) . After being stirred under hydrogen atmosphere ($P = 1$ atm), during 1 h at room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a short pad of Celite and concentrated under a vacuum. 24 was obtained without further purification as a white solid. Yield: 96% (38 mg from 0.13 mmol of 23). Mp: 119.5-120.8 °C. $[\alpha]_D = -27.2$ (c = 2.0). $R_f = 0.06$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate: 5/ 3). MS (ESI): m/z : 309.8 ((M + H)⁺), 332.0 ((M + Na)⁺). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.37$ (d, 3H, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 3.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.3$ Hz, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 3.32 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.4$ Hz, $J =$ 5.5 Hz), 3.35-3.43 (m, 1H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 5.96 (br s, 1H), 7.36 (m, 3H), 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.56 (m, 4H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 18.5, 39.3, 41.2, 56.5, 69.1, 127.1, 127.3,$ 127.4, 128.9, 129.7, 133.0, 140.1, 140.7, 167.7, 170.8. IR (cm⁻¹): $v = 3248, 2922 - 2978, 1755, 1732, 1489, 1250, 1136$ 1155. HRMS: C19H19NO3Na: calculated: 332.1263, found: 332.1249.

In Vitro Assays for Human FAAH. Tubes containing the enzyme⁴⁷ (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) BSA, pH 7.4, 165 μ L), test compounds in DMSO or DMSO alone for controls $(10 \mu L)$ and [³H]-AEA (50000 dpm, 2 μ M final concentration, $25 \mu L$) were incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. Reactions were stopped by rapidly placing the tubes in ice and adding 400 μ L of ice-cold chloroform/methanol (1:1 v/v) followed by vigorous mixing. Phases were separated by centrifugation at 850g, and aliquots (200 μ L) of the upper methanol/buffer phase were counted for radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting. In all experiments, tubes containing buffer only were used as control for chemical hydrolysis (blank) and this value was systematically subtracted. Using these conditions, URB-597 inhibits hFAAH with an IC_{50} value of 40 nM.

In Vitro Assays for Human MGL Activity. Tubes containing purified enzyme⁴⁶ (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) BSA, pH 8.0, 165 μ L), test compounds in DMSO or DMSO alone for controls (10 μ L) and [³H]-2-OG (50 000 dpm, 2 μ M final concentration, 25 μ L) were incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. Reactions were stopped by rapidly placing the tubes in ice and adding 400 μ L of ice-cold chloroform/methanol (1:1 v/v) followed by vigorous mixing. Phases were separated by centrifugation at 850g, and aliquots (200 μ L) of the upper methanol/buffer phase were counted for radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting. In all experiments, tubes containing buffer only were used as control for chemical hydrolysis (blank) and this value was systematically subtracted.

Preincubation Studies. Tubes containing enzyme (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) BSA, pH 7.4, 165 μ L) and test compounds in DMSO or DMSO alone (10 μ L) were preincubated 90, 45, 15, and 0 min at room temperature prior to addition of $[^{3}H]$ -AEA (50 000 dpm, 2 μ M final concentration, $25 \mu L$). Reactions were stopped by rapidly placing the tubes in ice and adding $400 \mu L$ of ice-cold chloroform/methanol (1:1 v/v) followed by vigorous mixing. Phases were separated by centrifugation at 850g, and aliquots (200 μ L) of the upper methanol/ buffer phase were counted for radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting. In all experiments, tubes containing buffer only were used as control for chemical hydrolysis (blank) and this value was systematically subtracted.

Reversibility Studies. In a total volume of 15 μ L, human FAAH (27.5 μ g) and inhibitors (or DMSO for controls) at concentrations allowing inhibition of the enzyme before dilution and no inhibition after the 100-fold dilution were preincubated during 1 h at room temperature. The mixtures were then diluted 100-fold by adding assay buffer. Immediately after, an aliquot (165 μ L) was taken and [³H]-AEA (50 000 dpm, 2 μ M final concentration, $25 \mu L$) was added. Two samples were taken at 30 and 90 min after the dilution too. Each aliquots were incubated at 37 \degree C for 30 min and reactions were stopped by rapidly placing the tubes in ice and adding 400 μ L of ice-cold chloroform/methanol (1:1 v/v) followed by vigorous mixing. Phases were separated by centrifugation at $850g$, and aliquots (200 μ L) of the upper methanol/buffer phase were counted for radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting. In all experiments, tubes containing buffer only were used as control for chemical hydrolysis (blank) and this value was systematically subtracted.

Determination of Inhibitor Interactions with hFAAH. Tubes containing enzyme (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) BSA, pH 7.4, 165 μ L; except for 150 μ M of AEA, 159.5 μ L and 250 μ M of AEA, 139.5 μ L) and test compounds in DMSO or DMSO alone (10 μ L) were incubated at 37 °C with increasing concentrations of [³ H]-AEA (50,000 dpm, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 75, 150, and 250 μ M final concentration, 25 μ L; except for $150 \mu M$, $30.5 \mu L$ and $250 \mu M$, $50.5 \mu L$). Reactions were stopped by rapidly placing the tubes in ice and adding $400 \mu L$ of ice-cold chloroform/methanol (1:1 v/v) followed by vigorous mixing. Phases were separated by centrifugation at 850 g, and aliquots $(200 \,\mu L)$ of the upper methanol/buffer phase were counted for radioactivity by liquid scintillation counting. In all experiments,

tubes containing buffer only were used as control for chemical hydrolysis (blank) and this value was systematically subtracted.

Docking Studies. Docking of the inhibitors into the active site of FAAH was performed using the GOLD program. GOLD is based on a genetic algorithm, performing docking of flexible ligands into proteins with partial flexibility in the neighborhood of the active site. Default settings were used for the genetic algorithm parameters. Twenty solutions were generated and ranked by GOLD score. The GOLD fitness function is made up of four components: protein-ligand hydrogen bond energy, protein-ligand van der Walls energy, ligand internal van der Waals energy, and ligand torsional strain energy. The figures were produced using $PyMOL⁵⁷$ and Ligplot.⁵⁸

Acknowledgment. The UCL (Université catholique de Louvain) and the F. R. S.-FNRS (Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique, Belgium) are gratefully aknowledged for financial support of this work (FRFC grant, n°2.4.654.06 F). J.M.-B. is senior research associate of the Belgian F. R. S.-FNRS, C.M. is a scientific research worker associate of the Belgian F. R. S.-FNRS. The authors wish to warmly thank Kaneka corporation (Japan), in particular Dr. Claudio Salvagnini, for the donation of the starting azetidinone 7 and Guillaume Menneson for technical assistance.

Supporting Information Available: Synthesis of compound 8, pI_{50} and standard deviation of each tested compound, representative "dose-response" curves, docking showing aminoacids involved in hydrophobic contacts and Ramachadran plot of the modeled human FAAH. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Gerard, S.; Galleni, M.; Dive, G.; Marchand-Brynaert, J. Synthesis and evaluation of N1/C4-substituted [beta]-lactams as PPE and HLE inhibitors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 129–138.
- (2) Adlington, R. M.; Baldwin, J. E.; Becker, G. W.; Chen, B.; Cheng, L.; Cooper, S. L.; Hermann, R. B.; Howe, T. J.; McCoull, W.; McNulty, A. M.; Neubauer, B. L.; Pritchard, G. J. Design, synthesis, and proposed active site binding analysis of monocyclic 2-azetidinone inhibitors of prostate specific antigen. J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 1491–1508.
- (3) Han, W. T.; Trehan, A. K.; Kim Wright, J. J.; Federici, M. E.; Seiler, S. M.; Meanwell, N. A. Azetidin-2-one derivatives as inhibitors of thrombin. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1995, 3, 1123–1143.
- (4) Borthwick, A. D.; Weingarten, G.; Haley, T. M.; Tomaszewski, M.; Wang,W.; Hu, Z.; Bedard, J.; Jin, H.; Yuen, L.; Mansour, T. S. Design and synthesis of monocyclic [beta]-lactams as mechanismbased inhibitors of human cytomegalovirus protease. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 365–370.
- (5) Marchand-Brynaert, J.; Brule, C. Penicillins. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III, 1st ed.; Katritzky, A. R.; Ramsden, C. A.; Scriven, E. F. V.; Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier Ltd.: Oxford 2008; Vol. 2, pp 173-237.
- (6) Walsh, C. Suicide substrates: mechanism-based enzyme inactivators. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 871–909.
- Urbach, A.; Muccioli, G. G.; Stern, E.; Lambert, D. M.; Marchand-Brynaert, J. 3-Alkenyl-2-azetidinones as fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 4163–4167.
- (8) Labar, G.; Michaux, C. Fatty acid amide hydrolase: from characterisation to therapeutics. Chem. Biodivers. 2007, 4, 1882–1902.
- (9) McKinney, M. K.; Cravatt, B. F. Structure and function of fatty acid amide hydrolase. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2005, 74, 411–432.
- (10) Wei, B. Q.; Mikkelsen, T. S.; McKinney, M. K.; Lander, E. S.; Cravatt, B. F. A second fatty acid amide hydrolase with variable distribution among placental mammals. J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281, 36569–36578.
- (11) Lambert, D. M.; Fowler, C. J. The endocannabinoid system: drug targets, lead compounds, and potential therapeutic applications. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 5059-5087.
- (12) Saario, S. M.; Laitinen, J. T. Monoglyceride lipase as an enzyme hydrolyzing 2-arachidonoylglycerol. Chem. Biodivers. 2007, 4, 1903–1913.
- (13) Tsuboi, K.; Takezaki, N.; Ueda, N. The N-acylethanolaminehydrolyzing acid amidase (NAAA). Chem. Biodivers. 2007, 4, 1914–1925.
- (14) Di Marzo, V. Endocannabinoids: synthesis and degradation. Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2008, 160, 1–24.
- (15) Ahn, K.; McKinney, M. K.; Cravatt, B. F. Enzymatic pathways that regulate endocannabinoid signaling in the nervous system. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1687–1707.
- (16) Blankman, J. L.; Simon, G. M.; Cravatt, B. F. A comprehensive profile of brain enzymes that hydrolyze the endocannabinoid 2 arachidonoylglycerol. Chem. Biol. 2007, 14, 1347-1356.
- (17) Vandevoorde, S.; Lambert, D. M. The multiple pathways of endocannabinoid metabolism: a zoom out. Chem. Biodivers. 2007, 4, 1858–1881.
- (18) Saghatelian, A.; McKinney, M. K.; Bandell, M.; Patapoutian, A.; Cravatt, B. F. A FAAH-regulated class of N-acyl taurines that activates TRP ion channels. *Biochemistry* 2006, $45,9007-9015$.
- (19) Fowler, C. J. Oleamide: a member of the endocannabinoid family? Br. J. Pharmacol. 2003, 141, 195–196.
- (20) Farrell, E. K.; Merkler, D. J. Biosynthesis, degradation and pharmacological importance of the fatty acid amides. Drug Discovery Today 2008, 13, 558-568.
- (21) Boger, D. L.; Henriksen, S. J.; Cravatt, B. F. Oleamide: an endogenous sleep-inducing lipid and prototypical member of a new class of biological signaling molecules. Curr. Pharm. Des. 1998, 4, 303–314.
- (22) Chang, L.; Luo, L.; Palmer, J. A.; Sutton, S.; Wilson, S. J.; Barbier, A. J.; Breitenbucher, J. G.; Chaplan, S. R.; Webb, M. Inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase produces analgesia by multiple mechanisms. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2006, 148, 102-113.
- (23) Lichtman, A. H.; Leung, D.; Shelton, C. C.; Saghatelian, A.; Hardouin, C.; Boger, D. L.; Cravatt, B. F. Reversible inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase that promote analgesia: evidence for an unprecedented combination of potency and selectivity. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2004, 311, 441–448.
- (24) Vandevoorde, S. Overview of the chemical families of fatty acid amide hydrolase and monoacylglycerol lipase inhibitors. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2008, 8, 247–267.
- (25) Seierstad, M.; Breitenbucher, J. G. Discovery and development of fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 7327–7343.
- (26) Tarzia, G.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Piersanti, G.; Mor, M.; Rivara, S.; Plazzi, P. V.; Park, C.; Kathuria, S.; Piomelli, D. Design, synthesis, and structure-activity relationships of alkylcarbamic acid aryl esters, a new class of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 2352–2360.
- (27) Sit, S. Y.; Conway, C.; Bertekap, R.; Xie, K.; Bourin, C.; Burris, K.; Deng, H. Novel inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 3287-3291.
- (28) Mor, M.; Rivara, S.; Lodola, A.; Plazzi, P. V.; Tarzia, G.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Piersanti, G.; Kathuria, S.; Piomelli, D. Cyclohexylcarbamic acid 3'- or 4'-substituted biphenyl-3-yl esters as fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors: synthesis, quantitative structureactivity relationships, and molecular modeling studies. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 47, 4998-5008.
- (29) Mor, M.; Lodola, A.; Rivara, S.; Vacondio, F.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Sanchini, S.; Piersanti, G.; Clapper, J. R.; King, A. R.; Tarzia, G.; Piomelli, D. Synthesis and quantitative structureactivity relationship of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors: modulation at the N-portion of biphenyl-3-yl alkylcarbamates. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 3487-3498.
- (30) Myllymaki, M. J.; Saario, S. M.; Kataja, A. O.; Castillo-Melendez, J. A.; Nevalainen, T.; Juvonen, R. O.; Jarvinen, T.; Koskinen, A. M. P. Design, synthesis, and in vitro evaluation of carbamate derivatives of 2-benzoxazolyl- and 2-benzothiazolyl-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-methanones as novel fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 4236–4242.
- (31) Minkkilä, A.; Myllymäki, M. J.; Saario, S. M.; Castillo-Melendez, J. A.; Koskinen, A. M. P.; Fowler, C. J.; Leppänen, J.; Nevalainen, T. The synthesis and biological evaluation of para-substituted phenolic N-alkyl carbamates as endocannabinoid hydrolyzing enzyme inhibitors. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 44, 2994–3008.
- (32) Keith, J. M.; Apodaca, R.; Xiao, W.; Seierstad, M.; Pattabiraman, K.; Wu, J.; Webb, M.; Karbarz, M. J.; Brown, S.; Wilson, S.; Scott, B.; Tham, C.-S.; Luo, L.; Palmer, J.; Wennerholm, M.; Chaplan, S.; Breitenbucher, J. G. Thiadiazolopiperazinyl ureas as inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 4838–4843.
- (33) Ahn, K.; Johnson, D. S.; Fitzgerald, L. R.; Liimatta, M.; Arendse, A.; Stevenson, T.; Lund, E. T.; Nugent, R. A.; Nomanbhoy, T. K.; Alexander, J. P.; Cravatt, B. F. Novel mechanistic class of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors with remarkable selectivity. Biochemistry 2007, 46, 13019–13030.
- (34) Piomelli, D.; Tarzia, G.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Mor, M.; Compton, T. R.; Dasse, O.; Monaghan, E. P.; Parrott, J. A.; Putman, D. Pharmacological profile of the selective FAAH inhibitor KDS-4103 (URB597). CNS Drug Rev. 2006, 12, 21–38.
- (35) Boger, D. L.; Miyauchi, H.; Du, W.; Hardouin, C.; Fecik, R. A.; Cheng, H.; Hwang, I.; Hedrick, M. P.; Leung, D.; Acevedo, O.; Guimaraes, C. R. W.; Jorgensen,W. L.; Cravatt, B. F. Discovery of a potent, selective, and efficacious class of reversible alpha-ketoheterocycle inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase effective as analgesics. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 1849–1856.
- (36) Hardouin, C.; Kelso, M. J.; Romero, F. A.; Rayl, T. J.; Leung, D.; Hwang, I.; Cravatt, B. F.; Boger, D. L. Structure-activity relationships of alpha-ketooxazole inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 3359–3368.
- (37) Romero, F. A.; Du, W.; Hwang, I.; Rayl, T. J.; Kimball, F. S.; Leung, D.; Hoover, H. S.; Apodaca, R. L.; Breitenbucher, J. G.; Cravatt, B. F.; Boger, D. L. Potent and selective alpha-ketoheterocycle-based inhibitors of the anandamide and oleamide catabolizing enzyme, fatty acid amide hydrolase. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 1058–1068.
- (38) Timmons, A.; Seierstad, M.; Apodaca, R.; Epperson, M.; Pippel, D.; Brown, S.; Chang, L.; Scott, B.; Webb, M.; Chaplan, S. R.; Breitenbucher, J. G. Novel ketooxazole based inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 2109–2113.
- (39) Kimball, F. S.; Romero, F. A.; Ezzili, C.; Garfunkle, J.; Rayl, T. J.; Hochstatter, D. G.; Hwang, I.; Boger, D. L. Optimization of alphaketooxazole inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 937–947.
- (40) Beltramo, M.; di Tomaso, E.; Piomelli, D. Inhibition of anandamide hydrolysis in rat brain tissue by (E)-6-(bromomethylene) tetrahydro-3-(1-naphthalenyl)-2H-pyran-2-one. FEBS Lett. 1997, 403, 263–267.
- (41) Muccioli, G. G.; Fazio, N.; Scriba, G. K. E.; Poppitz, W.; Cannata, F.; Poupaert, J. H.; Wouters, J.; Lambert, D. M. Substituted 2 thioxoimidazolidin-4-ones and imidazolidine-2,4-diones as fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors templates. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 417–425.
- (42) Berks, A. H. Preparations of two pivotal intermediates for the synthesis of 1-[beta]-methyl carbapenem antibiotics. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 331–375.
- (43) Urbach, A.; Dive, G.; Tinant, B.; Duval, V.; Marchand-Brynaert, J. Large ring 1,3-bridged 2-azetidinones: Experimental and theoretical studies. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 44, 2071–2080.
- (44) Urbach, A.; Dive, G.; Marchand-Brynaert, J. Novel large-ring 1,3 bridged 2-azetidinones as potential inhibitors of penicillin-binding proteins. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *2009*, 1757–1770.
- (45) Basak, A. 4-Thiophenyl-2-azetidinone as chiron: enantiospecific syntheses of 3R and 3S deuteriated beta-alanines. Synth. Commun. 1993, 23, 1985–1989.
- (46) Labar, G.; Bauvois, C.; Muccioli, G. G.; Wouters, J.; Lambert, D. M. Disulfiram is an inhibitor of human purified monoacylglycerol lipase, the enzyme regulating 2-arachidonoylglycerol signaling. ChemBioChem 2007, 8, 1293–1297.
- (47) Labar, G.; Vliet, F. V.; Wouters, J.; Lambert, D. M. A MBP-FAAH fusion protein as a tool to produce human and rat fatty acid amide hydrolase: expression and pharmacological comparison. Amino Acids 2008, 34, 127–133.
- (48) Wang, X.; Sarris, K.; Kage, K.; Zhang, D.; Brown, S. P.; Kolasa, T.; Surowy, C.; El Kouhen, O. F.; Muchmore, S. W.; Brioni, J. D.; Stewart, A. O. Synthesis and evaluation of benzothiazole-based analogues as novel, potent, and selective fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 170–180.
- (49) Boger, D. L.; Sato, H.; Lerner, A. E.; Hedrick, M. P.; Fecik, R. A.; Miyauchi, H.; Wilkie, G. D.; Austin, B. J.; Patricelli, M. P.; Cravatt, B. F. Exceptionally potent inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase: the enzyme responsible for degradation of endogenous oleamide and anandamide. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2000, 97, 5044–5049.
- (50) Deutsch, D. G.; Omeir, R.; Arreaza, G.; Salehani, D.; Prestwich, G. D.; Huang, Z.; Howlett, A. Methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphonate: a potent irreversible inhibitor of anandamide amidase. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1997, 53, 255–260.
- (51) Mileni, M.; Johnson, D. S.; Wang, Z.; Everdeen, D. S.; Liimatta, M.; Pabst, B.; Bhattacharya, K.; Nugent, R. A.; Kamtekar, S.; Cravatt, B. F.; Ahn, K.; Stevens, R. C. Structure-guided inhibitor design for human FAAH by interspecies active site conversion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2008, 105, 12820-12824.
- (52) Lambert, C.; Leonard, N.; De Bolle, X.; Depiereux, E. ESyPred3D: Prediction of proteins 3D structures. Bioinformatics 2002, 18, 1250–1256.
- (53) Laskowski, R. A.; MacArthur, M. W.; Moss, D. S.; Thornton, J. M. PROCHECK: A program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *J. Appl. Crystallogr*. **1993**, 26, 283– 291.
- (54) Michaux, C.; Muccioli, G. G.; Lambert, D. M.; Wouters, J. Binding mode of new (thio)hydantoin inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase: Comparison with two original compounds,
OL-92 and JP104. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, 16, 4772– 4776.
- (55) Guimaraes, C. R. W.; Boger, D. L.; Jorgensen, W. L. Elucidation of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibition by potent α -ketohetero-

cycle derivatives from Monte Carlo simulations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17377–17384.

- (56) Wang, H.; Duffy, R. A.; Boykow, G. C.; Chackalamannil, S.; Madison, V. S. Identification of novel cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonists by using virtual screening with a pharmacophore model. J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 2439–2446.
- (57) De Lano, W. L. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, 0.99; DeLano Scientific: San Carlos, 2002.
- (58) Wallace, A. C.; Laskowski, R. A.; Thornton, J. M. LIGPLOT: a program to generate schematic diagrams of protein-ligand interactions. Protein Eng. 1995, 8, 127–134.